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Abstract

Background: Previous guidelines for the management of thyroid nodules and cancers were geared toward adults. Compared with adults, however, thyroid neoplasms in the pediatric population exhibit differences in pathophysiology, clinical presentation, and long-term outcomes. Furthermore, therapy that may be recommended for an adult may not be appropriate for a child who is at low risk for death but at higher risk for long-term harm from over-aggressive treatment. For these reasons, unique guidelines for children and adolescents with thyroid tumors are needed.

Methods: A task force commissioned by the American Thyroid Association (ATA) developed a series of clinically relevant questions pertaining to the management of children with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC). Using an extensive literature search, primarily focused on studies that included subjects ≤18 years of age, the task force identified and reviewed relevant articles through April 2014. Recommendations were made based upon scientific evidence and expert opinion and were graded using a modified schema from the United States Preventive Services Task Force.

Results: These inaugural guidelines provide recommendations for the evaluation and management of thyroid nodules in children and adolescents, including the role and interpretation of ultrasound, fine needle aspiration cytology, and the management of benign nodules. Recommendations for the evaluation, treatment and follow-up of children and adolescents with DTC are outlined and include pre-operative staging, surgical management, postoperative staging, the role of radioactive iodine therapy, and goals for thyrotropin suppression. Management algorithms are proposed and separate recommendations for papillary and follicular thyroid cancers are provided.

Conclusions: In response to our charge as an independent task-force appointed by the ATA, we developed recommendations based on scientific evidence and expert opinion for the management of thyroid nodules and DTC in children and adolescents. In our opinion, these represent the current optimal care for children and adolescents with these conditions.
Introduction

In order to promote best practice standards for the diagnosis and management of thyroid cancers, The American Thyroid Association (ATA) (1), the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) (2), the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) (3), and the British Thyroid Association/Royal College of Physicians (4), previously published guidelines specifically addressing the evaluation, treatment and follow-up of thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) in adults. In most cases, the evaluation, treatment and follow-up of children with thyroid neoplasia have followed adult guidelines. Heretofore, this approach resulted in a high proportion of cure, but required all children to undergo therapy that included total thyroidectomy followed by radioactive iodine (RAI) ablation with iodine-131 ($^{131}$I). The goal was to eliminate any evidence of disease, documented by a negative whole body RAI scan and, most recently, by an undetectable serum thyroglobulin (Tg) level. Unfortunately, recent studies with follow-up spanning several decades reveal an increase in all-cause mortality for survivors of childhood DTC, predominately due to second malignancies in children treated with radiation (5-7). These observations, coupled with a better understanding of the excellent prognosis associated with pediatric DTC (5, 8-15), have now prompted the ATA to specifically address treatment of children with benign and malignant thyroid tumors.

It is acknowledged by this inaugural pediatric task force that no randomized double-blind controlled clinical trial exists for the treatment of children with DTC. Published data are from retrospective cohorts and are potentially subject to investigator bias or non-random assignment to various treatment groups. Further limiting the development of treatment guidelines is the fact that previous series of DTC in children averaged only 10 years of follow-up. This has made it difficult to determine if any treatment results in decreased risk of recurrence, mortality, or complications of therapy for children. Nevertheless, retrospective analysis of therapeutic options has led to a reconsideration of the former concept that all children with DTC should be similarly treated and has provided the opportunity for this task force to broaden the scope of acceptable therapy in an attempt to provide aggressive therapy when warranted and to limit over-treatment of those children who are unlikely to benefit.

Background

According to the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) program, new cases of thyroid cancer in people < age 20 represent 1.8% of all thyroid malignancies diagnosed in the United States (16). Unfortunately, the incidence appears to be increasing (17). Among 15-19 year old adolescents, thyroid cancer is the 8th most frequently diagnosed cancer and the second most common cancer among girls (8, 18). Adolescents have a 10-fold greater incidence than younger children and there is a female: male preponderance (5:1) during adolescence that is not seen in young children (8, 18-21). The most common presentation for DTC in children is that of a thyroid nodule. However, papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) also frequently presents as cervical adenopathy with or without a palpable thyroid lesion, or as an incidental finding after
imaging or surgery for an unrelated condition (11). Occasionally, the diagnosis is made only after the discovery of distant metastases (22-24).

The pathological classification of differentiated thyroid cancers in children is based on standard definitions set by the World Health Organization (WHO), with histological criteria the same for children and adults (25). PTC accounts for 90% or more of all childhood cases (10, 12, 20, 26-28). Follicular thyroid cancer (FTC) is uncommon while medullary thyroid cancer (MTC), poorly differentiated tumors and frankly undifferentiated (anaplastic) thyroid carcinomas are rare in young patients. Pediatric PTC may present with a variety of histological variants all having a distinctive but shared set of nuclear characteristics.

Subtypes of PTC in pediatrics include the following histologic variants: classic, solid, follicular and diffuse sclerosing (25, 29). Children, especially those < 10 years of age, may not have the classic papillary morphology as seen in adults and such tumors can be un-encapsulated, widely-invasive throughout the gland, and have a follicular and solid architecture with unique nuclear features and abundant psammoma bodies (30, 31). The major risk factor for developing PTC is radiation exposure to the thyroid (32-34). Children, especially those < 5 years of age, are the most sensitive (33, 35, 36). Radiation-induced PTC does not appear to differ in clinical behavior when compared to sporadic PTC (37). Activation of the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) pathway is critical for thyroid malignancies (38-40). An estimated 5% of patients with non-medullary thyroid cancer (NMTC) have a family history of non-syndromic NMTC (35, 41) with conflicting evidence in regard to whether it behaves more aggressively (42).

PTC and FTC exhibit major clinical differences. PTC is frequently multifocal and bilateral and metastasizes to regional neck lymph nodes in the vast majority of children (10, 12, 13, 15, 23, 24, 31, 43-47). Hematogenous metastases to the lungs occur in up to 25% of cases (9, 11, 14, 24, 31, 43, 48-52) and generally occur only with significant regional lymph node metastases (10, 53). FTC is typically a unifocal tumor and more prone to initial hematogenous metastases to lungs and bones. Metastases to regional lymph nodes are uncommon in FTC. Histologic variants of FTC include: Hürtthle cell (oncocytic), clear cell, and insular (poorly differentiated) carcinoma (25).

Based on the rarity of FTC in children and the major clinical and biological differences between PTC and FTC in children, the current guidelines have been developed specifically for PTC in children and we have chosen to include a separate section dedicated to the treatment of FTC.

Methodology

The ATA selected a task force using a strategy similar to that of previous ATA Guidelines task forces. Members were approved by the ATA and represent an international community of experts from a variety of disciplines including endocrinology, molecular biology, nuclear medicine, radiology, and surgery. None of the scientific or medical content of the manuscript was dictated by the ATA. The task force met by
conference calls and in person and developed a series of clinically relevant questions pertaining to the management of children with thyroid nodules and DTC. Task force members were assigned to sub-committees structured along the lines of these clinical questions and attempted to answer them using an extensive literature search, primarily focused on studies that included subjects ≤18 years of age, in addition to expert opinion. Similar to other ATA guidelines, the strength of the recommendations was categorized using a modified schema proposed by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (54) (see Table 1). With contributions from all authors, the document was primarily written by the chair and co-chairs (GLF, AJB, and SGW). The Pediatric Endocrine Society (PES) co-developed and endorsed the guidelines. The final document was approved by the ATA (Board of Directors and membership) and the PES (Drug and Therapeutics Committee and Board of Directors).

Table 2 presents the organization of the task force’s results and recommendations. Readers of the print version are referred to the page number for information about specific topics and recommendations. The location key can be used if viewing the guidelines in a file or web page. Each location key is unique and can be copied into the Find or Search functions to rapidly navigate to the section of interest. Specific recommendations are presented as bulleted points in the main body. Table 3 includes definitions to the abbreviations used in the guidelines.

[A1] WHY DO WE NEED SPECIFIC GUIDELINES FOR CHILDREN WITH THYROID NODULES AND THYROID CANCER?

There are important clinical, molecular and pathological differences in DTC among children when compared to adults that prompt the development of unique pediatric guidelines. From a clinical perspective, thyroid nodules are uncommon in children. However, there is a greater risk of malignancy in nodules diagnosed in children compared with adults (22-26% versus 5-10% in most series) (27, 55, 56). Second, when controlled for histology and tumor size, children with PTC are more likely to have regional lymph node involvement, extra-thyroidal extension, and pulmonary metastasis (9-15, 23, 24, 31, 43-53). Third, despite extensive disease at clinical presentation, children are much less likely to die from disease (2% or less long-term cause-specific mortality) than are adults (5, 8-15), and many children with pulmonary metastases (30-45%) develop persistent albeit stable disease following \(^{131}\)I therapy (24, 57). This is associated with a more favorable progression-free survival in children compared to adults with persistent DTC (9, 10, 13, 14, 47, 48, 51, 52). Finally, there may be a continued clinical response demonstrated by a decline in Tg levels after cessation of RAI therapy in children with pulmonary metastases (58).

Compared with adult PTC, childhood PTC is characterized by a higher prevalence of gene rearrangements and a lower frequency of point mutations in the proto-oncogenes implicated in PTC. Recent molecular studies have shown that \(BRAF\) mutations are the most common abnormality in adult PTC (36-83% of cases) (38), but they are rare in children with PTC (59) and virtually absent from the youngest patients. This may be important because point mutations of RAS and BRAF lead to genomic instability and dedifferentiation manifested by decreased expression of the sodium-
iodide symporter (NIS) (60, 61). In contrast, RET/PTC rearrangements are more common in PTC from children (20, 26, 40, 62) and do not lead to genomic instability. These molecular differences might be one of the reasons for better response to RAI therapy in children with PTC and could partially explain their low mortality and rare progression to less-differentiated tumors. Consistent with this hypothesis, a small study of PTC from children and adolescents found distant metastases and recurrence only in tumors with undetectable NIS, and the activity of ¹³¹I required to achieve remission was greater in those cancers with undetectable NIS (63). Finally, these molecular differences may impact on the utility of molecular testing for diagnosis of thyroid malignancies in children with thyroid nodules (see section B5).

[A2] TO WHAT AGE GROUP SHOULD THESE GUIDELINES APPLY?

Studies of pediatric DTC have variously included individuals extending up to 21 years of age (5, 8-10, 13, 14, 47, 48, 51, 52). With uncommon exception, the majority of pediatric patients have completed growth and development by ≤18 years of age. With the intent to more accurately define the impact of the physiologic changes of growth and development on tumor behavior, the upper limit for pediatrics should be defined as patients ≤18 years of age.

RECOMMENDATION 1

The pediatric age should be limited to a patient ≤18 years of age. Establishing a uniform upper limit of age will afford an opportunity to better define the potential impact of growth on tumor behavior. From a pragmatic point of view, individual centers may transition pediatric patients to adult care anywhere between 18 to 21 years of age. Clinicians may manage the “child” under these guidelines until transition has been completed.

Recommendation rating: C

[A3] SHOULD TREATMENT OF CHILDREN WITH DTC BE STRATIFIED INTO MORE THAN ONE AGE GROUP?

Several studies have compared the clinical presentation and outcomes for children diagnosed with DTC < 10-15 years of age with that of patients 10-18 years of age. The data are unclear as to whether younger age portends greater risk for extensive disease or recurrence. All studies are retrospective and most include only small numbers of children < 10-15 years of age. In general, studies in which 25-30% of the cohort are of younger age have shown that young age is associated with persistent disease or recurrence, whereas studies with fewer young children have not confirmed this (10, 14, 50, 53, 64-66). In addition, treatment regimens varied, which may impact outcomes. For example, surgeons may be less aggressive in lymph node dissection in younger children, and this factor, rather than age, may impact recurrence rates.
In some series, there is an increased prevalence in younger children of extrathyroidal extension (50, 66), regional node involvement (50, 67), distant metastases (64, 67), and lymph node (LN) recurrence (10, 65, 66), but this is not seen in all series (14, 44, 50, 53). The largest study included 740 children from Belarus (10), 92% of whom had exposure to radionuclides at the time of the Chernobyl disaster. By multivariate regression analysis, younger age was associated with an increased risk of recurrent nodal disease and lung metastases after adjustment for other risk factors. Unfortunately, several features of that study preclude generalization of the data. The Belarus cohort was exposed to radiation and the relationship between age and outcome might be explained by the heightened sensitivity to radiation in younger children rather than age per se (68).

RECOMMENDATION 2
It remains unclear if younger children (≤10-15 years of age) are at greater risk for more extensive disease or higher rates of recurrence. Other factors aside from age (treatment approaches, genetic susceptibility, and/or radiation exposure) may interact to modify this risk. However, those studies with a larger proportion of young children show an increased risk of persistent disease/recurrence.

In an effort to increase the descriptive nature of these discussions, the committee recommends that “prepubertal” and “pubertal/postpubertal” should be incorporated into future studies to increase uniformity and more accurately represent the potential influence of pubertal development on the incidence and behavior of DTC within the pediatric population.

Recommendation rating: B

[A4] WHAT ARE THE GOALS OF THERAPY FOR DTC IN CHILDREN?

Given the fact that disease-specific mortality for children with DTC is very low, it is unlikely that modification of current treatment protocols will further reduce the disease specific mortality. However, the apparent increased risk of second malignancies and overall mortality among childhood DTC survivors who were treated with radiation (see Section C16) underscores the need to better risk-stratify children with DTC so that more aggressive therapy is reserved for those at highest risk for morbidity and mortality and avoided in those children who are unlikely to derive long-term benefit. The goals for improved treatment are to:

1. Maintain the low disease-specific mortality currently enjoyed by children with DTC.

2. Reduce potential complications from therapy.

A major task in this process is to prospectively identify the minority of children who will benefit from aggressive therapy and to better understand the clinical characteristics that predict a response to such therapies. It is possible that in this search...
to develop “lower-intensity” therapy, we might increase the risk for residual/recurrent disease and the numbers of patients surviving with low volume, persistent but progression-free disease. Two major differences in these guidelines when compared with previous treatment guidelines are recommendations directed toward:

1. Pre- and postoperative staging

2. Selective use of $^{131}$I in children with DTC

The 2009 ATA thyroid cancer guidelines for adult patients recommend that staging should be performed for all patients with DTC using the Tumor-Node-Metastasis (TNM) classification of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) (69). In this system, children are classified as either stage I (no distant metastases) or stage II disease (with distant metastases). However, stage I includes a widely diverse group of children: those with a solitary lesion confined to the thyroid, those with extensive loco-regional disease and neck nodal metastases, and those with microscopic PTC. Treatment and prognosis for these varied lesions should ideally be stratified to represent the risks of persistent/recurrent disease and that will require an additional risk-stratification scheme beyond TNM classification.

Studies have confirmed the notion that children with DTC have lower rates of complications when surgery is performed by experienced thyroid surgeons (70-72). For this reason, the current task force recommends that children with DTC should be operated upon by experienced thyroid surgeons. Furthermore, it is our opinion that children with DTC should be cared for by teams of physicians experienced in the management of DTC in children to include, not only high-volume thyroid surgeons, but also experts in nuclear medicine and endocrinology who have experience in managing children with DTC. Evaluation and care should be provided in centers with a full range of pediatric and oncologic resources, which should be organized into a multidisciplinary team that regularly conducts patient review and/or tumor board conferences. This will facilitate interdisciplinary decisions regarding optimal therapy and will help to reduce the possibility that treatment and long-term follow up will be either overly aggressive or inadequate.

**RECOMMENDATION 3**

Children with DTC should be cared for by teams of physicians experienced in the management of DTC in children. This will facilitate interdisciplinary decisions regarding optimal therapy and will help to reduce the possibility that treatment and long-term follow up will be either overly aggressive or inadequate.

Recommendation rating: C

[B1] THYROID NODULE GUIDELINES

[B2] HOW COMMON ARE THYROID NODULES IN CHILDREN AND WHAT IS THE RISK FOR MALIGNANCY?
Thyroid nodules are less common among children than adults but are more likely to be malignant in children referred for evaluation of nodular thyroid disease (22-26% versus approximately 5%) (27, 55, 56). Estimates from ultrasound (US) and post mortem examination suggest that 1 – 1.5% of children and up to 13% of older adolescents or young adults have thyroid nodules (73, 74), although it is unclear how many of these would have become clinically apparent. Recent data from a large Japanese series using high-resolution ultrasound confirms the incidence of solid nodules at 1.65% but also identified cystic lesions in 57% of children and adolescents (75). Such high-resolution ultrasound data have not yet been replicated in other pediatric populations and it remains unclear if thyroid nodules are this prevalent in other regions. Nevertheless it appears from multiple studies that the prevalence of thyroid nodules is much greater in children than is generally appreciated. It also remains unclear how many of these nodules would reach a clinical threshold during childhood.

[3] ARE THERE HIGH-RISK GROUPS WHO MIGHT BENEFIT FROM PROSPECTIVE SCREENING FOR THYROID NODULES AND THYROID CANCER?

Several risk factors are associated with the development of thyroid nodules in children, including: iodine deficiency, prior radiation exposure, a history of antecedent thyroid disease and several genetic syndromes (Table 4). One high-risk population is that of childhood cancer survivors who were treated for their primary malignancy with radiation therapy, especially survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma, leukemia, and central nervous system tumors (76, 77). Thyroid nodules, many of which can only be detected by US, develop in cancer survivors at a rate of about 2% annually and reach a peak incidence 15 to 25 years after exposure (78-80). In general the risk is greatest among those who received radiation therapy at a younger age and with doses up to 20-29 Gy (77, 81, 82). High resolution US may identify small subclinical thyroid tumors (83, 84). However, there are insufficient data to determine if early detection of non-palpable tumors will significantly improve the quality and or longevity of life in patients screened by a standardized protocol using US and fine needle aspiration (FNA). Furthermore, routine US screening may also identify incidental findings, such as ectopic thymus, that may confuse the clinical picture and potentially lead to unnecessary testing (75).

A variety of genetic disorders predispose to thyroid neoplasia (85, 86) (Table 4). Benign and malignant thyroid tumors can occur in patients with APC-Associated Polyposis (87), the Carney complex (88), the DICER1 syndrome (89, 90), the PTEN Hamartoma Tumor Syndrome (91-93), and Werner syndrome (94). Cases of DTC have also been reported in Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome (95), the familial paraganglioma syndromes (96), Li-Fraumeni Syndrome (97), McCune-Albright syndrome (98), and Peutz–Jeghers syndrome (99).

Furthermore, children from kindreds with familial non-medullary thyroid cancer (FNMT), may have a predisposition to tumor development (100-105). There are no clear recommendations for prospective screening (outside of routine physical examination) in most cases. However, updated recommendations for US screening
have been put forth for both the PTEN Hamartoma Tumor Syndrome and APC-Associated polyposis (91, 92, 106). In addition, in non-syndromic familial non-medullary thyroid cancer, US surveillance of family members has been shown to detect earlier stages of disease as reflected by smaller tumor size (0.8 cm vs. 2.85 cm; \( p = <0.001 \)), a lower incidence of lymph node metastasis (23.2% vs. 65.6%; \( p = <0.001 \)) as well as a lower incidence of extrathyroidal extension (20.9% vs. 56.2%; \( p = 0.002 \)) when compared to the proband (107).

There are limited data in children with autoimmune thyroiditis. However, there is one report showing an increased prevalence of thyroid nodules perhaps as high as 30% with 7 of 11 PTC only detected by US exam (108). It is unclear how many of these would have achieved clinical importance, however. The presence of a palpable thyroid nodule or asymmetry with or without palpable cervical lymphadenopathy warrants referral to an experienced thyroid ultrasonographer and consideration of FNA as indicated based on suspicious sonographic features (see section B4) or growth over time. There is increasing data to suggest that patients with a nodule and thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) levels in the upper tertiles of the reference range may be at increased risk for malignancy (109).

From these data we conclude that thyroid nodules are common in childhood cancer survivors who received radiation therapy and are associated with a modest risk of malignancy. Other groups of children with tumor syndromes, as well as those born into a kindred with FNMTC, have an increased risk for thyroid nodules and/or cancers. Some of these cancers are small and not likely to be detected without US. Although this task force could not recommend thyroid US as a routine screening tool in all of these patients, we do encounter children who have incidental nodules identified via screening thyroid US. Similar to palpable nodules, nodules detected in this setting should be interrogated by US performed by an experienced ultrasonographer and FNA should be performed if the nodule has concerning sonographic features or growth over time.

RECOMMENDATION 4(A)

An annual physical exam is recommended in children at high risk for thyroid neoplasia. Additional imaging should be pursued if palpable nodules, thyroid asymmetry and/or abnormal cervical lymphadenopathy are found on exam.

Recommendation rating: B

RECOMMENDATION 4(B)

In children with a history of radiation exposure to the thyroid, the data show that US can detect small thyroid nodules but the panel is not yet convinced that detection of subclinical disease by US prior to a palpable abnormality on physical exam impacts long-term outcomes. Therefore, routine screening US in high-risk
children can neither be recommended for nor against until more data become available.

Recommendation rating: I

RECOMMENDATION 4(C)
Patients at increased risk of developing familial DTC should be referred to centers of excellence so that appropriate evaluation, follow-up, genetic counseling and/or treatment can be undertaken without subjecting patients and families to unwarranted and aggressive therapy.

Recommendation rating: C

RECOMMENDATION 4(D)
For patients with autoimmune thyroiditis, evaluation by an experienced thyroid ultrasonographer should be pursued in any patient with a suspicious thyroid examination (suspected nodule or significant gland asymmetry), especially if associated with palpable cervical lymphadenopathy.

Recommendation rating: B

[B4] WHAT IS THE OPTIMAL EVALUATION OF CHILDREN WITH THYROID NODULES?

The 2009 ATA adult guidelines indicate that the evaluation and treatment of thyroid nodules in children should be the same as in adults (Recommendation 18). In general, this task force agrees with that sentiment, but there are specific areas where we feel the approach should differ (Figure 1).

The 2009 adult guidelines indicate that FNA is not warranted for the evaluation of a nodule less than 1 cm in size unless the patient is considered high-risk, most commonly with a history of exposure to ionizing radiation, or the nodule is associated with pathologic regional lymph nodes. A size criterion is more problematic in children because thyroid volume changes with age and the size of the nodule alone does not predict malignant histology (110-112). Therefore, US characteristics and clinical context should be used more preferentially to identify nodules that warrant FNA. US features such as hypoechogenicity, irregular margins, and increased intranodular blood flow are more common in malignant lesions (110, 113). In addition, the presence of microcalcifications and abnormal cervical lymph nodes increase the likelihood of malignancy (110, 113). In all children with a suspicious nodule, US evaluation of the cervical lymph nodes should be performed.

The 2009 adult guidelines indicate that FNA is not warranted for the evaluation of a hyperfunctioning nodule in the adult. Although we concur that pre-operative FNA of a hyperfunctioning nodule in a child is similarly not warranted, this is based on the
understanding that all hyperfunctioning nodules in children will be surgically removed (see Section B10).

The 2009 adult guidelines indicate that calcitonin screening for MTC in adults with thyroid nodules may be cost-effective but it was neither recommended for nor against. In children and adolescents, the prevalence of sporadic MTC is extremely low. In addition, calcitonin reference ranges in children have not yet been widely validated, especially in children who have background thyroid disease such as thyroiditis. Further studies are needed in order to determine the cost-effectiveness of adding calcitonin to the evaluation of thyroid nodules in children.

The 2009 adult guidelines indicate that US-guided FNA is preferred for lesions with a higher likelihood of non-diagnostic cytology or sampling error. The sensitivity, specificity, and overall accuracy of FNA in children are similar to that of adults (114-119). However, based on the higher proportion of malignant nodules in children and the potential difficulty in obtaining repeat samples from children, this task force recommends that all FNA in children should be performed with US guidance. This is particularly relevant for complex cystic lesions, which require FNA of the solid portion, and it may also reduce the need for repeat FNA. The latter is important since FNA may alter the ultrasonographic features of thyroid nodules (120), thus making short term follow-up more difficult.

A unique but very important difference in children is that PTC may present as diffusely infiltrating disease that results in diffuse enlargement of a lobe or the entire gland. For this reason, diffuse thyroid enlargement, especially if associated with palpable cervical lymph nodes, should prompt imaging. With rare exception, the diffuse infiltrating form of PTC is associated with microcalcifications that warrant FNA.

Finally, for both children and adults, cytology findings on FNA are categorized according to The Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology (121). In this six-tier system, FNA results are reported as: (1) Nondiagnostic or unsatisfactory, (2) Benign, (3) Atypia or follicular lesion of undetermined significance (AUS/FLUS), (4) Follicular/Hürthle neoplasm or suspicious for follicular/Hürthle neoplasm, (5) Suspicious for malignancy, or (6) Malignant. Insufficient or nondiagnostic cytopathology refers to a specimen with limited cellularity (less than 6 follicular cell groups each containing 10-15 cells per group from at least 2 separate aspirates), absence of follicular cells or poor fixation and preservation (122). There is a 1-4% risk of malignancy in insufficient samples from adults (121), but very few data in children. Repeat FNA is an option in children but should be delayed for a minimum of 3 months in order to decrease the potential for atypical cellular features that may arise during the reparative process (123). In adults, the risk of malignancy in indeterminate nodules ranges from ~5-15% in the AUS/FLUS category to 15-30% in the follicular neoplasm or suspicious for neoplasm group (122). The limited data available suggest these indeterminate FNA categories account for ~35% of pediatric FNA and that, in children, 28% of AUS/FLUS lesions and 58% of suspicious for follicular or Hürthle cell neoplasm are malignant (26, 124). The 2009 adult guidelines suggested that repeat FNA was an
option for adults with indeterminate cytopathology. However, due to the apparent increased probability of malignancy among these indeterminate categories in children, the task force recommends definitive surgery (lobectomy + isthmusectomy) for indeterminate FNA findings in children (see Figure 1).

**RECOMMENDATION 5**
The evaluation and treatment of thyroid nodules in children (Figure 1) should be the same as in adults with the exceptions that: 1. US characteristics and clinical context should be used rather than size alone to identify nodules that warrant FNA, 2. All FNA in children should be performed under US-guidance, 3. Preoperative FNA of a hyperfunctioning nodule in a child is not warranted as long as the lesion is removed, 4. A diffusely infiltrative form of PTC may occur in children and should be considered in a clinically suspicious gland, and 5. Surgery (lobectomy + isthmusectomy) is favored over repeat FNA for most nodules with indeterminate cytology.

Recommendation rating: B

[B5] ARE THERE MOLECULAR SIGNATURES THAT COMPLEMENT FNA AND IMPROVE THE DIAGNOSTIC UTILITY OF FNA IN CHILDREN?

Studies in adults have shown that molecular testing aids in the management of thyroid nodules with indeterminate cytopathology (125-130). However, these diagnostic approaches have not yet been validated in pediatric patients. Mutational analysis has been used to examine thyroid nodules in children in limited single institution studies (26, 131). Approximately 17% of pediatric FNAs may be positive for a mutation or rearrangement, the presence of which correlated with malignancy in 100% (26). However, the cytopathologic classification for these malignant tumors were AUS/FLUS, suspicious for follicular or Hürthle neoplasm, suspicious for malignancy, or malignant, all of which would have led to surgical removal regardless of the mutational analysis. Although a proprietary multigene expression classifier has been validated to corroborate a benign diagnosis in adults with indeterminate nodules (126), there are no studies determining its usefulness in the evaluation of the indeterminate pediatric thyroid nodule. Therefore, although current molecular diagnostics might improve the diagnostic acumen for indeterminate cytopathology in children, additional studies are required before a formal recommendation can be proffered.

**RECOMMENDATION 6**
A positive mutational test appears highly likely to be associated with malignancy. Conversely, insufficient data exist in children to rely on negative genetic studies to reliably exclude malignancy. Although molecular studies hold promise for complementing the results of FNA, particularly for nodules that yield indeterminate cytology, they have not yet been sufficiently validated in children and cannot be routinely recommended in routine clinical practice until further studies are conducted.
Recommendation rating: E

[B6] HOW SHOULD THYROID NODULES BE TREATED IN CHILDREN?

The surgical approach to the child with a thyroid nodule is dictated by the FNA results (see Figure 1). Every effort should be made to ensure the FNA is performed in a controlled setting designed to accommodate age-appropriate anesthesia and pediatric advanced life support monitoring and intervention. In an effort to provide clarity, the proposed classification scheme from the National Cancer Institute Thyroid FNA State of Science conference is used as a guide to stratify surgical intervention (122).

[B7] WHAT IS THE RECOMMENDED APPROACH FOR CHILDREN WITH BENIGN THYROID CYTOPATHOLOGY?

A key element in this question is whether or not “benign” lesions in children as defined by absence of suspicious US findings and benign FNA are ever subsequently found to be malignant. There are insufficient data to answer this question in children, but there are studies that have included both children and adults (132-134). The false-negative rate appears to be quite low, in the range of 3-5% (114); however, the false negative rate may be higher in larger lesions secondary to an increased risk of sampling error (27, 135-137).

[B8] IS THERE A ROLE FOR LEVOTHYROXINE SUPPRESSION THERAPY?

The literature in this area is conflicting. Not all studies have used the same methodology nor have they always separated spontaneous thyroid nodules from radiation induced thyroid nodules. Furthermore, some but not all benign thyroid nodules regress spontaneously and this might be more common in small cystic lesions (138, 139). Levothyroxine (LT4) suppression therapy has been evaluated for its efficacy to reduce nodule size or to reduce the risk of subsequent nodule formation. However, there are only minimal data regarding long-term safety and potential side-effects of LT4 therapy (140, 141).

LT4 therapy has been prescribed to reduce the size of benign thyroid nodules but the clinical benefit of a small to modest reduction in size is not clear (142-147). About a third (30.6%) of euthyroid children had a > 50% reduction in nodule size which was directly correlated with TSH levels (r = 0.640, p < 0.001) and inversely with LT4 dose (r = -0.389, p = 0.009) (140).

Thyroid hormone has also been used in pediatric patients with radiation induced thyroid nodules where it has been shown to reduce the formation of subsequent nodules (148, 149). It is not clear if this data can be extrapolated to pediatric patients with spontaneous nodules and LT4 therapy had no effect on the incidence of thyroid cancer (148).
Whether LT4 therapy is used or not, an increase in nodule size is more commonly associated with malignant disease and should prompt re-evaluation and/or surgical resection (see section B9). Alternatives to surgery have been evaluated in adults but have not yet been evaluated in children and their use cannot be recommended.

**RECOMMENDATION 7**

We are unable to recommend for or against the routine use of LT4 therapy for children with benign thyroid nodules. In general, the data support the efficacy of LT4 therapy to reduce the size and risk of subsequent nodule formation but there are no data to weigh this potential benefit against the potential risks of long-term suppression therapy. In patients with compressive symptoms or a history of radiation exposure the benefits of LT4 therapy may be more apparent.

Recommendation rating: I

**[B9] IS THERE A ROLE FOR SURGERY IN CHILDREN WITH BENIGN NODULES?**

For the subset of children who have benign cytopathology, surgery may be considered due to increasing size, compressive symptoms, cosmetic reasons, or patient/parent choice. For growing nodules (defined in adults as a ≥50% increase in volume or ≥20% increase in at least two dimensions) or nodules that have developed suspicious US characteristics, repeat FNA should be performed prior to surgery to assist with surgical planning and pre-operative staging. FNA of nodules > 4 cm appears to have decreased sensitivity for the diagnosis of malignancy (27, 135-137). Given the high false negative rate of FNA in large lesions, and also to simplify long-term follow up, surgery should be considered for FNA-documented benign nodules > 4 cm, especially if solid. If surgery is undertaken, lobectomy is preferred to minimize the risk for complications.

**RECOMMENDATION 8**

Benign lesions should be followed by serial US (see Figure 1) and undergo repeat FNA if suspicious features develop or the lesion continues to grow. Lobectomy may be performed in patients with compressive symptoms, cosmetic concerns, or patient/parent preference and should be considered in all apparently benign solid thyroid nodules > 4cm, those lesions demonstrating significant growth, or in the presence of other clinical concerns for malignancy.

Recommendation rating: B

**[B10] WHAT IS THE OPTIMAL MANAGEMENT OF THE CHILD WITH AN AUTONOMOUS THYROID NODULE?**

On occasion, pediatric patients are found to have an autonomously functioning nodule (toxic adenoma) diagnosed by a suppressed TSH and increased, nodule-
specific uptake on nuclear medicine radioisotope scan (\(^{99m}\text{Tc}\) Pertechnetate or \(\text{Iodine-123} [^{123}\text{I}]\)) (150, 151). These lesions are most frequently associated with somatic activating mutations within the genes encoding the TSH receptor or the \(G_s\)-alpha subunit (151). On exam, children are either euthyroid or may have mild signs or symptoms of hyperthyroidism.

In adults, the treatment options for autonomous nodules include \(^{131}\text{I}\) ablation, surgical resection, or ethanol injection. Because of concerns of the mutagenic effect of low-activity radioiodine on the normal thyroid tissue, and reports that up to 1/3\(^{rd}\) of patients may be found to have an incidentally discovered DTC associated with autonomous nodules (150), surgical resection is the usual recommendation for most pediatric patients because the safety of observation or alternative treatments is unstudied in children. However, in asymptomatic patients with an autonomous nodule and subclinical hyperthyroidism, surgery may be deferred, but FNA should be considered if the nodule has features suspicious for PTC.

**RECOMMENDATION 9**

For pediatric patients with a suppressed TSH associated with a thyroid nodule, thyroid scintigraphy should be pursued. Increased uptake within the nodule is consistent with autonomous nodular function. Surgical resection, most commonly lobectomy, is the recommended approach for most autonomous nodules in children and adolescents.

Recommendation rating: A

---

**[C1] PAPILLARY THYROID CANCER-INITIAL MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES**

**[C2] WHAT IS THE OPTIMAL PRE-OPERATIVE EVALUATION FOR THE CHILD WITH NEWLY DIAGNOSED PTC?**

The pre-operative evaluation of the newly diagnosed pediatric PTC patient is critical for optimizing surgical outcome and medical therapy. In all cases, a comprehensive neck US using a high-resolution probe (7.5 MHz or higher) and Doppler-technique should be obtained by an experienced ultrasonographer. All regions of the neck should be interrogated, recognizing that US has decreased sensitivity to identify malignant lymphadenopathy in the central neck (level VI) (152, 153). A complete US exam should be performed prior to surgery if it was not performed with the FNA. The goal is to identify loco-regional metastatic disease otherwise not appreciated on physical examination (154-157).

Given the very high rate of cervical lymph node metastases in children with PTC, the pre-operative identification of suspicious lymph nodes affords the surgeon an opportunity to more thoughtfully plan comprehensive, compartment-oriented, lymph node dissection during the initial surgery with the intent to decrease recurrence rates and the need for additional surgery (154, 157). In patients with large or fixed thyroid masses or bulky metastatic lymphadenopathy, US may be less sensitive at detecting
metastatic disease to deep tissue regions, such as the superior mediastinum (level VII), the retropharyngeal, parapharyngeal, and subclavicular spaces (152, 153). The addition of cross-sectional imaging using contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), depending on local expertise and preference, should be considered, especially if there is any concern for invasion of the aero-digestive tract (158-161). If iodinated contrast agents are used, further evaluation and treatment with RAI may need to be delayed for 2-3 months until total body iodine burden decreases. The advantage of CT over MRI is that CT has shorter image acquisition times making scheduling more accessible and reducing the need for conscious sedation in younger patients.

A chest X-ray and/or chest CT may be considered in those with substantial cervical lymph node disease, in whom the prevalence of lung metastases is increased (9, 10, 24, 65, 162, 163). Pediatric specific protocols should be used to minimize ionizing radiation exposure. Routine chest CT is not recommended for patients with minimal neck disease, as pulmonary metastases are likely to be identified when the child is subsequently staged with a stimulated Tg and diagnostic whole body scan (DxWBS) (see Section C8) (24, 49, 164-166).

Thyroid nuclear scintigraphy for the evaluation of newly diagnosed PTC should only be pursued if the patient presents with a suppressed TSH. Decreased uptake on thyroid scintigraphy is nonspecific for thyroid malignancy (119). Additionally, the task force does not recommend the routine use of additional imaging, for example bone scan or [*18*F]-fluoro-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography (*18*FDG-PET/CT) in the evaluation of children for PTC. These studies are not validated in this setting and the likelihood of finding disease in an otherwise asymptomatic patient is low and nonspecific (see Section D5).

**RECOMMENDATION 10**
A comprehensive neck US to interrogate all regions of the neck is required in order to optimize the pre-operative surgical plan. FNA of suspicious lateral neck lymph nodes is recommended (see Recommendation 13). Anatomic imaging by MRI or CT with contrast should be considered in patients with large or fixed thyroid masses, vocal cord paralysis, or bulky metastatic lymphadenopathy in order to optimize surgical planning.

Recommendation rating: A

**[C3] WHAT IS THE RECOMMENDED SURGICAL APPROACH FOR THE PATIENT WITH A DIAGNOSIS OF PTC?**

For the majority of patients with PTC, total thyroidectomy (TT) is the recommended initial surgical approach. In this procedure, the left and right thyroid lobes, the pyramidal lobe (when present) and the isthmus are resected. Alternatively, in patients with a small unilateral tumor confined to the thyroid gland, a near-TT, whereby a small amount of thyroid tissue (<1-2%) is left in place at the entry point of the
recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) and/or superior parathyroid glands, might be considered in an effort to decrease the risk of permanent damage to these structures. This recommendation for more comprehensive thyroid surgery in pediatric patients is based on data showing an increased incidence of bilateral and multifocal disease (30% and 65%, respectively) (11, 14, 47, 52, 167), as well as an increased risk for recurrence and subsequent second surgical procedures when less than a near-TT or TT is performed (5, 14, 15, 44, 47, 51, 168). In long-term analysis of 215 pediatric patients with PTC, bilateral lobar resection compared with lobectomy was shown to decrease the incidence of local recurrence from 35% to 6% over 40 years of follow-up (5). Bilateral thyroid surgery also optimizes the use of RAI for imaging and/or treatment and Tg as a marker to detect persistent/recurrent disease (8, 169-171). Using an intracapsular approach, the superior parathyroid glands may be most easily preserved by maintaining arterial inflow and venous drainage (172-174).

**RECOMMENDATION 11**

For the majority of children, total thyroidectomy is recommended. The rationale for this approach is based on multiple studies showing an increased incidence of bilateral and multi-focal disease. In long-term analysis, bilateral lobar resection compared with lobectomy has been shown to decrease the risk for persistent/recurrent disease.

Recommendation rating: A

**[C4] SHOULD CENTRAL NECK DISSECTION BE PERFORMED?**

In patients with pre-operative evidence of central and/or lateral neck metastasis, a therapeutic central neck dissection (CND) should be performed. For this subgroup of patients, who are also at increased risk of pulmonary metastases (10, 14, 65), CND is associated with a decreased risk of persistent/recurrent locoregional disease as well as the potential to increase the efficacy of $^{131}$I treatment for distant metastases (14, 15, 22, 47, 48).

The increased incidence of cervical metastasis in children suggests that prophylactic CND as defined in the 2009 ATA consensus statement on the terminology and classification of CND for thyroid cancer (175), should be considered at the time of initial surgery for pediatric patients with PTC. This is particularly relevant given that decreased disease-free survival (DFS) is most strongly correlated with the presence of persistent or recurrent loco-regional disease (5, 13-15, 22, 47, 52).

Unfortunately, there are no data that reliably predict which subgroup of patients is at increased risk for locoregional metastasis. Larger tumor size (>4 cm) has been shown to correlate with an increased risk of lymph node metastases (10, 11, 176). However, up to 36% of tumors ≤4 cm have cervical lymph node metastasis (10). In addition, several of the panel experts have cared for children with regional metastasis found in children with primary tumors ≤1 cm in size. In adults, these tumors are labeled
papillary thyroid microcarcinoma (PTMC) and scoring systems have been described to predict the likelihood of metastasis (177). However, the thyroid volume is smaller in young children so that the size criteria used for tumor staging (see section B4), as well as the diagnosis of PTMC, may not apply to children (178).

While data suggest that pediatric patients with thyroid cancer typically have 100% 10-year disease-specific survival (5, 8, 162, 179), the extent of initial surgery appears to have the greatest impact on improving long-term disease free survival (DFS) (5, 47). However, without long-term, prospective data and a reliable set of criteria to stratify which patients would benefit from more aggressive surgical resection, one must weigh the risks of more aggressive surgery with the potential benefit of decreasing the incidence of persistent/recurrent disease.

The limited data suggest that, in children, TT with prophylactic CND is associated with increased DFS, as high as 95% at 5 and 10 years (46, 163). However the data are mixed and possibly related to the use of adjunctive RAI remnant ablation. In a retrospective study examining 75 children with PTC, 80% of whom underwent TT with \(^{131}\)I remnant ablation, the type and extent of neck dissection did not impact the risk for locoregional or distant metastasis (15). Conversely, another study suggested that total thyroidectomy with prophylactic CND may reduce the risk for reoperation that was as high as 77% in those without CND (44). Some groups suggest routinely considering a prophylactic CND, particularly for larger tumors (1, 180, 181), whereas others suggest making this decision based upon intraoperative findings (182).

If and when performed, CND should only be performed by a surgeon highly experienced in the procedure. To reduce the risk of recurrence, a comprehensive and compartment-based lymph node dissection should be pursued rather than “berry picking” (183). In patients with unifocal disease, data from adult patients suggests that ipsilateral, prophylactic CND may provide the same potential benefit while decreasing the higher complication rate associated with bilateral CND (184). During ipsilateral CND, the incorporation of frozen section to stratify which patients should undergo contralateral (complete) prophylactic CND may achieve a balance between the potential risks and benefits of this procedure (185).

With these considerations in mind, the following recommendations are made in an attempt to balance the goal of achieving surgical remission with the potential increased risk of complications that may be unnecessary for patients with minimal or no locoregional metastasis.

**RECOMMENDATION 12(A)**

CND is recommended for children with malignant cytology and clinical evidence of gross extrathyroidal invasion and/or loco-regional metastasis on pre-operative staging or intra-operative findings. This approach may be associated with a decreased need for second surgical procedures and increased DFS.
Recommendation rating: B

RECOMMENDATION 12(B)
For patients with PTC and no clinical evidence of gross extrathyroidal invasion and/or loco-regional metastasis, prophylactic CND may be selectively considered based upon tumor focality and size and the experience of the surgeon. For patients with unifocal disease, ipsilateral CND, with pursuit of contralateral CND based on intra-operative findings, may help balance the risks and benefits.

Recommendation rating: C

RECOMMENDATION 12(C)
Compartment-oriented resection is the recommended approach for lymph node dissection. “Berry picking” and attempting to use palpation to determine if metastatic disease is present in a lymph node are not recommended.

Recommendation rating: A

RECOMMENDATION 12(D)
Future studies to assess if TT with prophylactic CND dissection will lead to reduced reliance on $^{131}$I treatment, re-operative procedures, and improved DFS are recommended.

Recommendation rating: C

[C5] WHAT ARE THE INDICATIONS FOR LATERAL NECK DISSECTION?

Pediatric patients occasionally present with bulky disease to the lateral neck and may have suspicious lymph nodes in the lateral neck on pre-operative US imaging. US findings suggestive of metastasis to a lymph node include: increased size, rounded shape, loss of central hilum, cystic appearance, peripheral vascularity on Doppler imaging and micro-calcifications (186), with the latter two features having the highest specificity for malignancy (113). The US appearance of the lymph nodes may be considered sufficient evidence to pursue lateral lymph node dissection; however, in patients undergoing surgery, FNA to confirm metastasis to the lateral neck lymph nodes should be performed prior to lateral neck dissection. The addition of a Tg measurement in the FNA washout fluid may be used to confirm equivocal cytological evidence of metastatic disease, even in the presence of serum anti-thyroglobulin antibodies (TgAb) (187-191) (see Section D2). When indicated, compartment-oriented lateral neck dissection (levels III, IV, anterior V, and II) is associated with a reduction in persistent/recurrent disease and improved DFS (10, 14, 47).

RECOMMENDATION 13
Cytological confirmation of metastatic disease to lymph nodes in the lateral neck is recommended prior to surgery. Routine prophylactic lateral neck dissection (levels III, IV, anterior V, and II) is not recommended. However, lateral neck
dissection should be performed on patients with cytologic evidence of metastases to the lateral neck. Measurement of Tg in the FNA washout can be considered if the cytological diagnosis is equivocal.

Recommendation rating: B

[C6] WHAT ARE THE POSSIBLE COMPLICATIONS OF SURGERY AND WHAT SHOULD BE DONE TO MINIMIZE THE RISKS OF SURGERY?

The lower incidence of thyroid disease requiring surgical intervention in children combined with a higher incidence of locoregional lymph node metastasis has been associated with an increased risk of complications for pediatric patients undergoing TT. Utilizing high volume thyroid surgeons, defined as a surgeon who performs 30 or more cervical endocrine procedures annually, can reduce the rate of complications (70, 71). In a cross-sectional analysis of over 600 pediatric patients undergoing thyroid surgery, there were fewer general complications (8.7% vs. 13.4%) and endocrine complications (5.6% vs. 11%) when the procedures were performed by high volume surgeons (71). In addition, the duration of stay and cost were significantly lower when the procedure was performed by a high volume surgeon (71).

The most common complications after thyroidectomy are endocrine related and include transient or permanent hypoparathyroidism, with an average rate of approximately 5-15%. In a high-volume tertiary endocrine surgical practice, the risk of permanent hypoparathyroidism is <2.5% (72). Surgery specific, non-endocrine related complications include recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) damage, spinal accessory nerve injury, and Horner syndrome, with an average rate of 1-6% (10, 13, 46, 47, 70, 72). In patients younger than 10 years of age, there is an increased risk of complications associated with the presence of extrathyroidal extension, lymph node dissection and repeat surgery (10, 70, 168).

The risk of hypoparathyroidism correlates with the extent of surgery. Even in patients where the parathyroid glands are identified and viability of gland function is likely, manipulation of the parathyroid glands may lead to transient or permanent hypoparathyroidism. Auto-transplantation of parathyroid tissue after frozen-section confirmation is utilized if there is any concern of devitalization and is associated with a decreased risk of permanent hypoparathyroidism (192, 193). Postoperatively, there are several approaches to predict which patients are at an increased risk of developing hypocalcemia, to include serial measurements of serum calcium (194) as well as measurement of a peri-operative intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH) level. The utility of postoperative iPTH is fairly well established with a level of < 10-15 pg/ml correlating with an increased risk to develop clinically significant hypocalcemia (195, 196). An elevated postoperative serum phosphorous may also be predictive (197). The use of peri-operative iPTH and/or phosphorus monitoring may decrease morbidity and allow for stratification of patients who would benefit from more intensive monitoring and treatment with calcium and calcitriol. An alternative to this approach is to place all patients who
have undergone TT, especially those who undergo concomitant CND, on empiric calcium ± calcitriol replacement therapy.

There are no monitoring devices that have been shown to decrease the rate of non-endocrine surgical complications. The use of intra-operative RLN monitoring may be considered as an adjunct monitoring device, and may be considered for younger patients (<10 years of age), in patients undergoing CND, and in patients undergoing repeat surgical procedures. However, the use of RLN monitoring has not been clearly shown to lower the incidence of RLN damage (198).

**Recommendation 14(A)**

Pediatric thyroid surgery should be performed in a hospital with the full spectrum of pediatric specialty care, to include, but not be limited to: endocrinology, radiology (ultrasound and anatomic imaging), nuclear medicine, anesthesia, a high volume thyroid surgeon, and intensive care.

Pediatric thyroid surgery, especially if compartment-focused lymph node resection is indicated, should ideally be performed by a surgeon who performs at least 30 or more cervical endocrine procedures annually. Thyroid surgery performed under these guidelines is associated with lower complications rates, decreased hospital stay and lower cost.

Recommendation rating: B

**Recommendation 14(B)**

The early incorporation of calcium and calcitriol in patients at high-risk for hypocalcemia may decrease the risks of symptomatic hypocalcemia. Postoperative iPTH measurement may be used to help predict which patients would benefit from more intensive monitoring and treatment.

Recommendation rating: B

**[C7] WHAT TUMOR CLASSIFICATION SYSTEMS CAN BE USED FOR PEDIATRIC PTC?**

There is no single postoperative staging system that has been validated in children with PTC, and the utility of extrapolating adult staging systems into the pediatric setting is limited by the observed clinical disparity between the two age groups. Specifically, the age-metastasis-extent of disease-size of tumor (AMES) and metastasis-age-completeness of resection-invasion-size (MACIS) have been examined, but the data are limited and the utility of these staging systems in pediatric patients with PTC remains unclear (176, 199). The American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM classification system (Table 5) is the most widely used system for describing the...
extent of disease and prognosis in the adult population (69). However, due to the extremely low disease-specific mortality in children with PTC and the fact that all patients < age 45 years have either stage I (no distant metastases) or stage II disease (with distant metastases), the TNM classification system remains limited in terms of determining prognosis in children. Despite this, the TNM classification is an excellent system with which to describe the extent of disease as well as to stratify an approach to evaluation and management. Especially useful to risk-stratify the pediatric PTC patient is knowledge regarding lymph node status. Children with PTC who have gross cervical lymph node disease at diagnosis are more likely to have multi-focal disease (89% versus 16%), an increased incidence of pulmonary metastasis (20% versus none), and increased persistent (30% versus none) and/or recurrent (53% versus none) disease when compared with children without palpable nodal disease (53, 65).

Therefore, using the TNM classification system, specifically regional lymph node and distant metastasis staging, one can categorize pediatric patients into one of three risk groups. This categorization strategy does not define the risk of mortality (which is low for both stage I and II patients) but identifies patients at risk of persistent cervical disease and helps to determine which patients should undergo postoperative staging to screen for the presence of distant metastasis (Table 6 and Section C8). These three groups are:

1. **ATA Pediatric Low-Risk**
   Disease grossly confined to the thyroid with N0 or NX disease or patients with incidental N1a metastasis where ‘incidental’ is defined as the presence of microscopic metastasis to a small number of central neck lymph nodes. These patients appear to be at lowest risk for distant metastasis but may still be at risk for residual cervical disease, especially if the initial surgery did not include a CND.

2. **ATA Pediatric Intermediate-Risk**
   Extensive N1a or minimal N1b disease. These patients appear to be at low risk for distant metastasis but are at an increased risk for incomplete LN resection and persistent cervical disease.

   The impact of the pathologic identification of microscopic extrathyroidal extension (ETE) (T3 disease) on management and outcomes has not been well studied in children with PTC, but patients with minimal ETE are probably either ATA Pediatric Low- or Intermediate-Risk, depending on other clinical factors.

3. **ATA Pediatric High-Risk**
Regionally extensive disease (extensive N1b) or locally invasive disease (T4 tumors), with or without distant metastasis. Patients in this group are at the highest risk for incomplete resection, persistent disease, and distant metastasis.

RECOMMENDATION 15(A)

The AJCC TNM Classification System should be used to describe the extent of disease in pediatric patients with PTC (Table 5). Children with PTC should be stratified into risk levels (ATA Pediatric Low-, Intermediate-, or High-Risk) based on clinical presentation, tumor size and evidence of regional invasion and metastasis (Table 6). The extent of disease in the neck at diagnosis appears to correlate best with the risk for distant metastasis and/or persistent disease that may require additional treatment.

Recommendation rating: B

RECOMMENDATION 15(B)

Patients found to have disease confined to the thyroid gland, as well as incidental evidence of minimal, microscopic disease to lymph nodes in the central neck (level VI), fall into the ATA Pediatric Low-Risk level (Table 6).

The presence of extensive, extra-thyroidal invasion or metastasis defines patients at greater risk for persistent regional or distant metastasis. Patients with these features are categorized within the ATA Pediatric Intermediate- or High-Risk levels (Table 6). Within these categories, additional postoperative staging is warranted to better define which patients may or may not benefit from additional therapy.

Recommendation rating: B

[C8] WHAT POSTOPERATIVE STAGING IS RECOMMENDED?

For most patients, initial staging (Figure 2) is typically performed within 12 weeks postoperatively. This affords the patient and family time to recover from surgery while at the same time avoiding delay in additional therapy, if needed. The purpose of postoperative staging is to assess for evidence of persistent locoregional disease and to identify patients who are likely to benefit from additional therapy with $^{131}$I, such as those suspected or known to have distant metastases. The individual patient’s risk level (Table 6) helps to determine the extent of postoperative testing. While the committee recognizes that no prospective studies have been performed to validate a stratified risk-based approach in children with PTC, an individualized approach incorporating pathologic findings and postoperative clinical data is founded on well-accepted approaches to therapy in adults (1, 3) as well as personal experience in certain pediatric practices (200). The foundation of this stratification system for pediatric patients, however, assumes complete and accurate pre-operative staging for regional disease.
(see Section C2) and appropriate surgery that is performed by a high-volume thyroid cancer surgeon.

For ATA Pediatric Low-risk patients, initial postoperative staging includes a TSH-suppressed Tg. The interpretation of serum Tg and most importantly, interpretation of the trend in serum Tg over time are summarized in section D2.

In contrast, for ATA Pediatric Intermediate- and High-Risk patients, a TSH-stimulated Tg and DxWBS are generally recommended for further risk stratification and determination of treatment with $^{131}$I (Figure 2). Children who fall into the ATA Pediatric Intermediate- and High-Risk categories are prepared following standard guidelines for $^{131}$I therapy (see Section C12), and the TSH-stimulated Tg and DxWBS data are used to assess for evidence of residual disease (Figure 2). In patients without evidence of anti-thyroglobulin antibodies, the TSH-stimulated Tg is a reliable marker for evaluating for the presence or absence of residual disease (see Section D2). In a recent study examining 218 consecutive adult DTC patients across all ATA risk stratification levels, a TSH-stimulated Tg < 2 ng/mL had a 94.9% predictive value for the absence of disease (201).

Whether a DxWBS might image disease that is not identified through neck US is a matter of debate and few data in children address this. In one pediatric study, US and DxWBS equally identified lymph node metastases in the majority of patients (35/45); however, in six patients, lymph node metastases were found only with a posttreatment RAI WBS (202). Two of the patients were TgAb positive, reinforcing the potential benefit of DxWBS in patients who are TgAb positive (202, 203). DxWBS may also visualize disease in the lungs or mediastinum that would not otherwise be shown by neck US or other cross-sectional imaging (49).

For patients with cervical iodine uptake, the addition of hybrid imaging using single photon emission computed tomography with integrated conventional CT (SPECT/CT) offers improved anatomic imaging to determine whether cervical uptake is secondary to remnant thyroid tissue or metastasis to regional lymph nodes (204-206).

A potential drawback of DxWBS imaging is that, if $^{131}$I is used, the small diagnostic activity may theoretically "stun" the iodine-avid tissue and reduce subsequent $^{131}$I uptake if high-activity $^{131}$I treatment is then used (207, 208). This issue can be reduced by selecting the lowest possible activity of $^{131}$I (2.7 to 4.0 mCi = 100-148 MBq) (209) or by using $^{123}$I (207, 210). Due to its lower cost, $^{131}$I is more commonly used, but $^{123}$I provides superior imaging quality, and generates lower absorbed doses of radiation to the tissues (207, 211), which favors its use in children.

Taken together, postoperative staging is used to further stratify which children may or may not benefit from additional treatment with surgery and/or RAI therapy. Irrespective of initial risk stratification, all patients will enter surveillance, ensuring that appropriate therapy will be given if evidence of disease is ultimately identified. As long
as the patient is maintained on tailored LT4 suppression and a proper surveillance plan is followed (Table 6 and Figure 2), delayed treatment is not expected to alter the already low disease-specific mortality due to the indolent nature of PTC in children. Furthermore, a more individualized and conservative approach to postoperative staging and treatment will decrease unnecessary exposure to $^{131}$I in children without evidence of disease, in whom the risks of routine $^{131}$I therapy likely outweigh any benefit. Because of the lack of high-level evidence to help guide these difficult medical decisions, families should be fully informed about the options and their risks/benefits as the surveillance and treatment plans are being formulated.

**RECOMMENDATION 16**

Postoperative staging is usually performed within 12 weeks after surgery (Figure 2) and allows for stratification of patients who may or may not benefit from further therapy, to include additional surgery or $^{131}$I therapy. ATA Pediatric Low-Risk patients may be initially assessed and followed with a TSH-suppressed Tg alone. In contrast, a TSH-stimulated Tg and a DxWBS is typically recommended to assess for evidence of persistent disease in ATA Pediatric Intermediate- and High-Risk patients. Additional imaging, to include neck US and/or hybrid imaging using SPECT/CT, may be used conjunctively to more accurately define the anatomic location of RAI uptake noted on a DxWBS. Whenever possible, $^{123}$I should be used for the DxWBS.

Recommendation rating: B

**[C9] WHAT ARE THE GOALS OF $^{131}$I TREATMENT?**

The traditional approach to managing pediatric patients with DTC included reflexive postsurgical $^{131}$I therapy, which was prescribed in an effort to eliminate residual thyroid tissue in order to increase the sensitivity for using serum Tg as a biomarker for recurrent disease. In addition, $^{131}$I was prescribed in an effort to decrease the risk of recurrent disease (see Section C10).

The goal of $^{131}$I therapy is to decrease the risks of thyroid cancer recurrence and theoretically to improve mortality by eliminating iodine-avid disease. RAI was proposed as a specific treatment for DTC in 1946 after an adult with functional thyroid cancer metastases responded to multiple RAI treatments (212), and $^{131}$I therapy has since been broadly incorporated into treatment protocols for both adults and children (1, 213). A recent survey indicates that use of therapeutic $^{131}$I for all thyroid cancers, regardless of tumor size, has increased from 1990 – 2008 (214).

With increased awareness of the potential long-term side effects of $^{131}$I treatment (see Section C16), there are increased efforts to identify patients who have a high likelihood of benefit from therapy. Unfortunately, the majority of available data are based on a non-stratified approach where all children underwent TT, variable extent of lymph
node dissection, and the majority received therapeutic $^{131}$I. The challenge is to reduce or eliminate unnecessary $^{131}$I exposure for children who may not benefit without increasing disease-specific morbidity and mortality. The following sections address various aspects of this question.

[C10] WHAT IS THE IMPACT OF $^{131}$I THERAPY ON RECURRENCE AND SURVIVAL FOR CHILDREN WITH PTC?

Adjunctive $^{131}$I therapy may improve DFS in young adults (including some adolescents) but this has not been universally shown for those with small, stage I lesions (215). Reflective of this, the 2009 ATA guidelines and the current NCCN guidelines support the selective rather than universal administration of $^{131}$I, especially for young patients (< 45 years of age) with intra-thyroidal PTC and either no or limited lymph node disease (1, 3).

Studies specifically examining the potential benefits of $^{131}$I therapy in children have been difficult to perform because the number of patients is small and the prognosis is favorable, regardless of adjunctive therapy (11, 169, 216, 217). Arguments in favor of universal therapeutic $^{131}$I for children have been based on the observation that retention of the normal thyroid remnant may decrease the sensitivity for detecting metastases or recurrent disease by serum Tg and/or DxWBS (218, 219). Arguments against the universal prescription of therapeutic $^{131}$I are based on the known short- and long-term toxicities (220), lack of data showing conclusive benefit from routine $^{131}$I therapy (5, 13), a possible increase in the risk of secondary malignancies (5-7, 221, 222), and studies showing that Tg can remain useful and become undetectable in patients post TT despite not having received $^{131}$I (223-225).

Most of the data regarding $^{131}$I use in children have examined treatment of known residual disease rather than ablation of the normal thyroid remnant only (9). In patients with known residual disease, $^{131}$I therapy appears to decrease recurrence (9, 43, 51, 162, 226). However, large retrospective series in children show conflicting results regarding the potential for benefit from adjunctive $^{131}$I. In one study, when compared with surgery alone, $^{131}$I remnant ablation did not clearly decrease the risk for locoregional recurrence, distant metastases, or all-sites recurrence, but there was a trend toward reduction in the risk of distant metastases ($p = 0.06$) (5). Unfortunately, overall survival was reduced in patients who had received external beam therapy, radium implants, or $^{131}$I, primarily secondary to an increase in non-thyroid, second malignancies. Another study also found no improvement in disease-free survival following remnant ablation (13) but additional studies revealed a significant improvement in disease-free survival for children with PTC treated with $^{131}$I and no clear increase in the risk of second primary malignancies (169).

[C11] WHICH CHILDREN MIGHT BENEFIT FROM THERAPEUTIC $^{131}$I?

$^{131}$I is indicated for treatment of nodal or other locoregional disease that is not amenable to surgery as well as distant metastases that are known or presumed to be
iodine-avid (169). In addition, some experts also advocate routine $^{131}$I therapy for children with T3 tumors or extensive regional nodal involvement (extensive N1a or N1b disease) (9, 169).

Published studies show that children with iodine-avid pulmonary metastases benefit from $^{131}$I treatment, and complete remission is achievable for many patients, particularly those with microscopic and small volume lung disease (9, 57, 58, 162, 227). Thus, for patients with pulmonary metastases, $^{131}$I is considered therapeutic, understanding that, with increasing burden of disease, administration of multiple activities may ultimately be required (57) (see Section D8).

RECOMMENDATION 17

$^{131}$I is indicated for treatment of iodine-avid persistent locoregional or nodal disease that cannot be resected as well as known or presumed iodine-avid distant metastases. For patients with persistent disease following $^{131}$I administration, the decision to pursue additional $^{131}$I therapy should be individualized according to clinical data and previous response (see Figures 3 and 4). The potential risks and benefits must be weighed on an individual basis.

Recommendation rating: B

[C12] HOW SHOULD A CHILD BE PREPARED FOR $^{131}$I?

If $^{131}$I is prescribed, the TSH should be above 30 mIU/L to facilitate uptake (21, 228, 229). The majority of children will achieve this level of TSH by ≥ 14 days of LT4 withdrawal (230). For that reason, triiodothyronine supplementation during LT4 withdrawal is not usually required but can be considered for children who are especially sensitive to hypothyroid symptoms or if the withdrawal period is extended beyond 3 weeks.

Recombinant human TSH (rhTSH) has been used for remnant ablation as well as for treatment of intermediate- and high-risk DTC in adults (231-233) and may result in a lower absorbed radiation activity to the blood (as much as 1/3 lower) (234). However, data regarding the use of rhTSH in children are limited (235, 236). Experience in children would suggest that the typical adult dose of rhTSH (0.9 mg x 2 doses given 24 hours apart) appears to be safe and generates sufficient TSH levels (169, 236, 237). In particular, rhTSH might have a role in situations where endogenous hypothyroidism should be avoided (e.g. significant medical comorbidities) or is impossible (e.g. TSH deficiency) (169, 236).

To facilitate RAI uptake, a low iodine diet is generally prescribed for 2 weeks prior to therapy but the efficacy of this practice in children has not been specifically demonstrated. Nevertheless, a low iodine diet has been shown to increase the effective radiation dose to the thyroid by 50-150% in adults (238). For that reason, a low iodine
diet is commonly recommended. In children who received intravenous contrast during pre-operative staging, it is advisable to wait approximately 2-3 months or to confirm normal (median normal 24 hour urine iodine excretion = 143 µg / 24 hour, 5-95% range = 75 – 297 µg / 24 hour) or low 24-hour urine iodine values before performing either a DxWBS or administering therapeutic $^{131}$I.

**RECOMMENDATION 18**

In order to facilitate $^{131}$I uptake by residual iodine-avid cancer, the TSH should be above 30 mIU/L. This can be achieved in almost all children by withdrawing LT4 for ≥ 14 days. In selected patients who cannot mount an adequate TSH response or cannot tolerate profound hypothyroidism, rhTSH may be considered. Low iodine diets have not been specifically evaluated in children but may enhance the effective radiation activity of $^{131}$I and are recommended.

Recommendation rating: A

[C13] **WHAT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED FOR ADMINISTRATION of $^{131}$I?**

General guidelines for safety in the administration of $^{131}$I were reviewed by the ATA Task Force on Radiation Safety in 2011 (240). There are few specific references to children, but the overall document pertains to children as well as adults. Once the decision to administer $^{131}$I is made, the safety of family members and classmates will help guide the decision for in-patient or outpatient therapy. This will be largely based on patient age and ability to comprehend the tasks required for outpatient therapy. Other factors to consider are the amount of radiation retained by the patient and the potential exposure time and distance between patient and others (240). In general, children and adolescents with PTC are primarily a radiation risk to others during the first 1-2 days after $^{131}$I therapy. For young children, this may be especially problematic, if they are not yet toilet trained or are frightened to sleep alone. Detailed instructions for the daily care of children who have received $^{131}$I are provided in the ATA guidelines on radiation safety and abbreviated in appendix 1 of that document (240).

Adjunctive therapies to minimize the risk of $^{131}$I to the treated child have not been well studied. Adequate hydration is essential to enhance $^{131}$I clearance and should be encouraged. Regular bowel evacuation is also important, so stool softeners or laxatives may be considered. Nausea and/or vomiting are common following $^{131}$I therapy, particularly in young children and those receiving higher $^{131}$I activities. In such cases, anti-emetics like the serotonin 5-HT3 receptor antagonists can be considered. Accelerating $^{131}$I clearance from the salivary glands may reduce the risk of sialadenitis but the use of sialagogues such as sour candy or lemon juice is poorly studied in children. Some studies in adults found benefit by starting lemon drops 24 hours after $^{131}$I dosing (241) but some experts do not recommend this practice. Similarly, the use of the radioprotectant amifostine has not been validated in children, and a recent review of randomized-control trials in adults found no benefit from amifostine therapy (242).
Adjunctive treatments to increase the efficacy of $^{131}$I therapy have also not been well studied in children. In adults with PTC, co-treatment with lithium has been suggested to increase $^{131}$I retention and improve the efficacy of treatment of metastatic tumors (243). To our knowledge, no study of children with PTC has evaluated the safety and efficacy of lithium co-treatment. Because the expression of NIS is more common and more robust in pediatric PTC, the effect of lithium on $^{131}$I retention might be less than that found in adults (169).

RECOMMENDATION 19(A)

Adequate hydration should be ensured in all children receiving therapeutic $^{131}$I to facilitate clearance of the radioisotope, and additional supportive care with anti-emetic medications and stool softeners/laxatives should be considered. Sour candy or lemon drops can be given after $^{131}$I treatment, but not all experts ascribe to this practice.

Recommendation rating: C

RECOMMENDATION 19(B)

The routine use of lithium and amifostine cannot be recommended.

Recommendation rating: F

[C14] HOW IS THE ACTIVITY OF THERAPEUTIC $^{131}$I DETERMINED?

Therapeutic $^{131}$I administration is commonly based on either empiric dosing or whole body dosimetry. There are no standardized activities of $^{131}$I for children and, to our knowledge, there are no data that compare the efficacy, safety or long term outcomes from $^{131}$I administration in children using these different approaches.

Empiric dosing offers the advantage of simplicity. Some adjust $^{131}$I activity according to weight or body surface area and give a fraction (e.g. child’s weight in kg / 70 kg) based on the typical adult activity used to treat similar disease extent (1, 3, 21, 219, 229). Others suggest that $^{131}$I activities to treat residual disease should be based on body weight alone (1.0–1.5 mCi/kg; 37-56 MBq/kg) while still others feel this may not be as reliable as dosing based on body surface area. In general terms, a 15 year old may require 5 / 6 of the adult activity, a 10 year old may require 1 / 2 of the adult activity and a 5 year old may only require 1 / 3 of the adult activity for similar extent of disease (169).

For the child with diffuse lung uptake or significant distant metastases, those undergoing multiple $^{131}$I treatments, or children who may have limited bone marrow reserve due to prior chemotherapy or radiation therapy, whole body dosimetry can be used to calculate the largest activity of $^{131}$I that could theoretically be administered so
that the absorbed activity to the blood does not exceed 200 rads (cGy) and that the whole-body retention 48 hours after administration does not exceed 4.44 GBq (120 mCi) in the absence, or 2.96 GBq (80 mCi) in the presence, of iodine-avid diffuse lung metastases, respectively (244-246). Lesional dosimetry can also be performed to select effective activities of $^{131}$I for children with substantial lung involvement or large tumor burden at distant sites such as bone (209, 210, 245, 247, 248). One must keep in mind that these toxicity constraints have not been validated in pediatrics and may be associated with significant toxicity in young children (249, 250). Furthermore, these protocols are time consuming and not routinely available at all referral centers.

**RECOMMENDATION 20**

Based on the lack of data comparing empiric treatment and treatment informed by dosimetry, we are unable to recommend for or against either approach in most patients. Many experts provide the first activity of $^{131}$I based on an empiric estimate and reserve dosimetry for patients with diffuse pulmonary metastases or subsequent activities of $^{131}$I in patients with iodine-avid distant metastases who require additional therapy. However, dosimetry can be considered prior to the first $^{131}$I treatment in small children and in patients with limited bone marrow reserve. Due to the differences in body size and iodine clearance in children compared with adults, it is recommended that all activities of $^{131}$I should be calculated by experts with experience in dosing children.

Recommendation rating: I

**[C15] SHOULD A POSTTREATMENT WHOLE BODY SCAN BE OBTAINED?**

Approximately 4-7 days after $^{131}$I therapy, a posttreatment whole body scan should be performed to take advantage of the increased sensitivity associated with administration of the larger activity of $^{131}$I used for therapy (208). Newer gamma-camera systems allow scanning as early as 72 hours after $^{131}$I therapy (251). On occasion, the posttreatment WBS (RxWBS) may reveal metastatic disease (regional or pulmonary) that was not apparent on the DxWBS (218) but it remains uncertain if this knowledge informs future treatment or outcomes. If new lesions are identified on the RxWBS, the addition of SPECT/CT to the RxWBS may afford greater definition of residual disease during postoperative re-staging (252).

In addition, the clearance of RAI from thyroid cancers has been shown to vary substantially with biological half-life ranging from 3-12 days (253). Rapid turnover of iodine might clear $^{131}$I by the time standard imaging protocols are performed while other lesions might be better revealed with delayed imaging (254). For the rare child with elevated serum Tg and negative RxWBS, serial acquisition times may be beneficial in documenting disease and iodine avidity.

**RECOMMENDATION 21**
A posttreatment WBS is recommended for all children 4-7 days after $^{131}\text{I}$ therapy. The addition of SPECT-CT may help to distinguish the anatomic location of focal uptake.

Recommendation rating: B

[C16] WHAT ARE THE ACUTE AND LONG-TERM RISKS OF $^{131}\text{I}$ THERAPY IN CHILDREN?

There are both acute and long-term side effects and complications associated with exposure to therapeutic $^{131}\text{I}$. The side effects can be organized by organ system and the majority are explained by mechanistic exposure based on the method of delivery, absorption, distribution and clearance.

The short-term side effects of $^{131}\text{I}$ are well known and include damage to tissues that incorporate iodine resulting in sialadenitis, xerostomia, dental caries, stomatitis, ocular dryness, and nasolacrimal duct obstruction (255, 256). Strategies exist to help treat or prevent $^{131}\text{I}$-related side-effects (257, 258), however, even a single activity of $^{131}\text{I}$ may lead to permanent salivary gland dysfunction with life-long xerostomia, an increased incidence of dental caries, and an increase in the risk for salivary gland malignancy (258, 259). The use of sour candy or lemon juice, starting 24 hours after $^{131}\text{I}$ dosing, with vigorous hydration for 3-5 days may protect salivary gland function (241). The use of rhTSH has not been shown to decrease salivary gland toxicity when compared to THW (260), however, lacrimal dysfunction (watery eyes) was more frequent in patients undergoing thyroid hormone withdrawal (261). None of these prophylactic measures, or other sialogogues have been formally studied in the pediatric population.

Gonadal damage has been reported in both women and men (262, 263). In postpubertal males, transient rise in follicle stimulating hormone is common and may persist for up to 18 months after $^{131}\text{I}$ exposure (263, 264). Increasing cumulative activities of $^{131}\text{I}$ may lead to decreased spermatogenesis generally without an effect on testosterone production (263, 265, 266). Current guidelines recommend that males avoid attempts at conception for at least 4 months post $^{131}\text{I}$ therapy. Postpubertal testes appear to be more vulnerable than pre-pubertal testes to the toxic effects of ionizing radiation (267). Therefore, postpubertal males should be counseled and sperm banking should be considered for those receiving cumulative activities $\geq$ 400 mCi (14.8 GBq) (268).

Transient amenorrhea and menstrual irregularities are reported in up to 17% of females under the age of 40 years. This is true despite the fact that 65% of young women received a single low activity of $^{131}\text{I}$ (average = 81 mCi; 3 GBq) (269). Other studies have not shown an increase in infertility, miscarriage or birth defects following $^{131}\text{I}$ (262, 270, 271). Collectively, these data have led to the recommendation that conception should be avoided during the year immediately following $^{131}\text{I}$ administration (272).
Acute suppression of bone marrow may occur but hematologic parameters usually normalize within 60 days after $^{131}$I exposure. Commonly a decline in leukocyte (~57%) and platelet counts (~44%) occurs within the first month after treatment. This is followed by a less pronounced decline in erythrocyte count (~10%) by the second month after treatment, but usually all parameters normalize ~3 months post therapy (273). Long-term bone marrow suppression is rare, however, there are reported cases of leukemia after multiple high activities of $^{131}$I administered over a short span of time (274). Therefore, it is important to allow for recovery of bone marrow between $^{131}$I treatments.

In support of these clinical observations, $^{131}$I has been shown in peripheral lymphocytes to induce a significant increase in the number of dicentric chromosomes (275-277) and the aberrations of chromosomes 1, 4, and 10 are not only more prevalent but are still apparent after 4 years (276). A recent report comparing THW to rhTSH suggests a lower frequency of lymphocyte chromosomal rearrangements after $^{131}$I dosing using rhTSH preparation (278).

A few studies combining patients of all ages have shown that $^{131}$I therapy is associated with an increased risk for second malignancies and an increase in overall mortality for patients with DTC (7). A large study by Brown et al. reviewed data from over 30,000 subjects and found a significant increase in second malignancies among patients treated with $^{131}$I (relative risk 1.16, p<0.05) (6). They also noted that the risk was greater for younger patients. In a study that exclusively evaluated children, Hay et al. found that children who were treated with radiation [external beam radiation, radium implants, or $^{131}$I developed a variety of second cancers (leukemia, stomach, bladder, colon, salivary gland, and breast) and had increased mortality compared with the general population (5). However, only four (4) of the 15 patients that died from non-thyroid second primary malignancies were associated with the sole administration of $^{131}$I (one acute myelogenous leukemia, one lung, one adenocarcinoma, and one breast cancer) (5). Whether this resulted from aggressive treatment, an underlying predisposition to cancer or from a direct effect of $^{131}$I is unknown but the increase in overall mortality following $^{131}$I treatment of a disease with low disease-specific mortality is of growing concern.

It is difficult to determine from these data if there is a “safe” cumulative exposure to $^{131}$I or if the increase in second malignancies occurs following any amount of $^{131}$I. Further complicating this question is the fact that the effects of RAI may be amplified in children because a given activity of $^{131}$I is distributed over shorter distances, taken up by smaller organs, and accumulated by cells with increased growth and proliferation potential. Despite these limitations, Rubino et al. proposed a activity-response relationship in which the relative risk for second malignancy appears to increase above a cumulative activity of 200 mCi (7.4 GBq) $^{131}$I (7) and Rivkees et al. suggested an increased risk above a cumulative exposure to 300 mCi (11.1 GBq) $^{131}$I (220). However, there are anecdotal reports of acute myelogenous leukemia after 85 mCi (3.1 GBq) $^{131}$I, lung cancer after 150 mCi (5.6 GBq) $^{131}$I, and adenocarcinoma after 200 mCi (7.4 GBq)
Unfortunately, there is a lack of long-term data to define a “safe” activity of $^{131}$I and additional study is clearly warranted.

Lastly, for pediatric patients with lung metastases, there is a significant risk for $^{131}$I-induced pulmonary fibrosis when the retained $^{131}$I activity exceeds 80 mCi (3 GBq) (228, 279). For that reason, patients with significant uptake on DxWBS are candidates for dosimetry or reduced $^{131}$I dosing.

In summary, there are clear benefits and risks, both acute and chronic, following administration of $^{131}$I. The challenge is to define that subgroup of patients who will not experience an increase in morbidity or disease-specific mortality if $^{131}$I is deferred or withheld.

**RECOMMENDATION 22**

There are clear benefits and risks, both acute and chronic, following administration of $^{131}$I during childhood. The challenge is to define those patients for whom the benefits of $^{131}$I therapy outweigh the risks. Families should be provided full disclosure of the risks and benefits of $^{131}$I and their opinion must be considered in the final decision.

Recommendation rating: C

**[D1] SURVEILLANCE AND FOLLOW UP OF PTC IN CHILDREN**

**[D2] WHAT IS THE ROLE OF THYROGLOBULIN TESTING IN THE FOLLOW UP OF PTC IN CHILDREN?**

Thyroglobulin (Tg) is a thyroid-specific glycoprotein that is synthesized and secreted by the normal thyroid and by differentiated thyroid carcinomas. Following surgery and $^{131}$I therapy, serum Tg levels serve as a sensitive marker of residual or recurrent disease (280-283); the magnitude of serum Tg elevation appears to correlate with the site(s) of metastatic disease and with the tumor subtype (280).

Highly sensitive and specific immunometric analyses for serum Tg have been shown in adults to be more sensitive for detecting residual thyroid cancer when compared with the DxWBS (281, 284-286). Currently, most laboratories use immunometric methods for Tg measurement, and these should be calibrated against the CRM-457 international standard (1). However, even with standardization, there can be significant differences in Tg results between various assays (171). For that reason, serial Tg measurements should ideally be performed in the same laboratory using the same assay.

In the absence of TgAb (see section below), serum Tg has a high degree of sensitivity and specificity to detect residual/recurrent DTC, with the highest sensitivity noted following TSH stimulation (TSH-stimulated Tg) (282). Serum Tg levels rise with
TSH stimulation and the duration of stimulation is generally longer in the hypothyroid state, resulting in higher serum Tg levels than occur after rhTSH in the euthyroid state (281). In adults, a TSH-stimulated serum Tg level > 2 ng/ml has a high predictive value for disease (287).

Previous studies of children with DTC have focused on DxWBS as the “gold-standard” for disease status (11, 219, 288), and there are few data regarding the interpretation of Tg levels in children with DTC. Because data suggests that serum Tg levels might be higher in children when compared with adults with a similar extent of disease (58, 289), application of data from adult studies to children is difficult. Therefore, it is not yet clear if elevated Tg levels have the same prognostic value for children, who may have a different Tg threshold for what would be considered clinically relevant or “actionable” disease.

Incorporating Tg levels into Clinical Care

In conjunction with neck US and other imaging procedures (see Sections D3-D5), the measurement of serum Tg is a critical component in the management of the pediatric DTC patient, both at the time of initial postoperative staging (see Table 6 and Figure 2) as well as during long-term surveillance and subsequent restaging (see Table 6 and Figures 3 and 4). At the same degree of TSH suppression, the Tg level on LT4 is thought to be the best predictor of changes in tumor mass (281, 290). Therefore, monitoring of the non-stimulated Tg (in addition to neck US; see Section D3) is the ideal approach to assess for recurrence or disease progression, noting that a negative Tg on LT4 therapy may not reliably predict a negative TSH-stimulated Tg response (291-293). The use of highly sensitive Tg assays may ultimately obviate the need to perform a stimulated Tg measurement (292-297), although data in children are lacking.

Based on adult studies, a negative TSH-stimulated Tg after surgery and $^{131}$I identifies patients with a high probability of being disease-free (286, 287, 298-300). Therefore, an undetectable TSH-stimulated Tg in children is similarly considered to be an indicator of disease remission, although a mildly positive stimulated Tg (<10 ng/ml) in such patients should not be considered an actionable finding in the absence of other evidence for active disease. This is due to multiple factors, including our understanding that some adult patients with a TSH-stimulated Tg level > 2ng/mL but < 10 ng/ml will remain free of clinical disease and will have stable or decreasing TSH-stimulated Tg levels over time (281, 287, 301) and the fact that patients with DTC can demonstrate a continued decline in Tg levels over many years despite receiving no additional therapy (281, 301, 302).

If the Tg on LT4 is detectable, there is no added value from performing a TSH-stimulated Tg as the likelihood of persistent or recurrent disease is high. In these patients, a rising Tg level indicates disease that is likely to become clinically apparent (281, 283, 301, 303-305). In this setting, routine surveillance is recommended with imaging and treatment determined by the degree of Tg elevation and its trend over time (see Figure 3 and Sections D3-5). However, when imaging fails to confirm or localize
disease, the clinical importance of a low-level disease burden identified only by Tg testing in children is not yet clear and there is no absolute serum Tg value above which empiric treatment is indicated (281, 301). The Tg level above which additional studies and or an empiric activity of RAI therapy (see Section D5) should be considered has not been delineated in children and adolescents. A mildly positive TSH-stimulated Tg (<10 ng/ml) in such patients should not be considered an automatic, actionable finding in the absence of evidence for progressive disease.

Although repeating a TSH-stimulated Tg in patients whose Tg is undetectable on suppressive therapy but previously detectable after TSH stimulation may help to confirm the absence of disease, there is no need to repeat a TSH-stimulated Tg level in patients with an undetectable Tg on LT4 and a previously negative TSH-stimulated Tg, since these patients are likely in remission (287, 298-300).

Finally, in the patient who has not been treated with $^{131}$I, the Tg level while on LT4 can still be reliable (223-225) assuming the initial surgery was done by an experienced thyroid surgeon. A TSH-stimulated Tg is of no value in this situation outside of its use in initial postoperative staging (Figure 2).

**Children with Thyroglobulin Autoantibodies**

TgAb are detected in up to 20-25% of patients with DTC, primarily PTC, and they interfere with Tg measurements in a qualitative, quantitative, and method-dependent manner, rendering the Tg level uninterpretable (304, 306-310). Antibody interference with the most commonly used Tg immunometric assays (IMA) always results in underestimation of Tg (i.e. a potentially false negative test), whereas interference with radioimmunoassay (RIA) has the potential to cause either under- or overestimation of Tg, depending on the characteristics of the patient-specific TgAb and the RIA reagents (307, 310). All specimens sent for Tg measurement require concomitant TgAb testing because TgAb status can change over time and even very low TgAb concentrations can interfere (310). Similar to measuring Tg levels, the measurement of TgAb levels should be performed in the same laboratory using the same assay every time (307). Newer technologies using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry to measure Tg in TgAb positive samples are now available (311). However, further studies are required before these methods can be broadly incorporated into clinical practice.

Because TgAb concentrations respond to changes in the levels of circulating Tg antigen, and thereby indirectly represent changes in thyroid tissue mass, the TgAb level can serve as a surrogate tumor marker for DTC (306, 307). Most studies have reported that the de novo appearance, persistence, or a rising trend in TgAb concentrations in the postoperative period, are significant risk factors for persistent or recurrent disease (312-316). However, it is unknown if a positive TgAb correlates with extent/invasiveness of disease or prognosis. A decline in TgAb titers indicates a declining disease burden but it may take a median of three years to clear TgAb after cure of DTC (317). A significant rise in Tg antibodies suggests disease progression that warrants further evaluation. Patients may have persistent TgAb during the first year after diagnosis and
may even exhibit a rise in (or *de novo* appearance of) TgAb during the 6 months following $^{131}$I treatment, when there is release of Tg antigen secondary to $^{131}$I-induced damage to thyroid tissue (310, 314, 318). Likewise, persistence of a low TgAb concentration years after the initial surgery does not necessarily indicate the presence of disease, especially if the TgAb titers display a declining trend (315). Similar to the Tg, the trend in TgAb concentrations is more relevant for disease detection than any single TgAb concentration. Once the child becomes Tg antibody negative, the Tg level on LT4 or after TSH stimulation is considered interpretable.

**RECOMMENDATION 23(A)**

Tg serves as a sensitive tumor marker in the evaluation, treatment, and long-term follow up of DTC in children, even in children not previously treated with $^{131}$I. TgAb levels should be simultaneously measured in all samples as the presence of TgAb will render the Tg result uninterpretable. Tg and TgAb levels should be measured using the same laboratory and assay technique. The trend in serial Tg and/or TgAb levels is much more informative in regard to determining disease status than any single measurement.

Recommendation rating: A

**RECOMMENDATION 23(B)**

An undetectable TSH-stimulated Tg (with negative TgAb) identifies patients in remission with a very high probability to remain completely free of disease during follow-up and in whom the intensity of disease surveillance and the magnitude of TSH suppression should be relaxed. Monitoring the TSH-suppressed Tg level on LT4 treatment is the recommended approach to long-term follow-up, with the trend of this value being the most reliable indicator of disease activity. Repeat TSH-stimulated Tg levels are not necessary if the TSH-suppressed Tg is detectable or if a previous TSH-stimulated Tg was undetectable.

Recommendation rating: A

**RECOMMENDATION 23(C)**

Detection of a low-level TSH-stimulated Tg (<10 ng/ml) in a patient who has undergone surgery and therapeutic $^{131}$I may indicate persistent disease. However, this value may decline over time without additional therapy. Continued follow up with serial TSH-suppressed Tg and TgAb levels as well as radiologic imaging (neck US) are indicated in this situation.

Recommendation rating: B

**RECOMMENDATION 23(D)**
Increasing or frankly elevated levels of TSH-stimulated Tg (> 10 ng/ml) warrant further evaluation to localize disease and inform the decision as to whether additional surgery and/or $^{131}$I therapy would be beneficial or whether one should pursue continued observation.

Recommendation rating: A

RECOMMENDATION 23(E)

The Tg level cannot be interpreted in children with positive TgAb. In this setting, the TgAb trend should be followed using the same assay. If the TgAb trend is clearly rising, then further evaluation is warranted.

Recommendation rating: A

[D3] WHAT IS THE ROLE OF ULTRASOUND IN THE FOLLOW UP OF PTC IN CHILDREN?

Children with PTC who have residual/recurrent disease are most likely to have cervical lymph node disease (5, 9, 11, 14, 163, 217, 319). Ultrasound, in conjunction with Tg levels, has proven highly effective in identifying and localizing regional nodal metastases in both adults and children with PTC (113, 190, 191, 202, 283, 286) and appears even more sensitive than a TSH-stimulated Tg to identify disease (190, 202, 297). US has also proven useful for directing FNA of suspicious lesions/lymph nodes in the thyroid bed or lateral neck that can then be evaluated by routine cytology and Tg immunoassay in the needle washout, especially if cytopathology is equivocal or uninformative (187-191). Therefore, US is the most important clinical tool for identifying cervical disease and is recommended at routine intervals based upon the patient’s ATA Pediatric Risk level and clinical concern for persistent or recurrent disease (see Table 6 and Figures 2 and 3).

RECOMMENDATION 24

Neck US is recommended in the follow-up of children with PTC (Table 6 and Figure 3). Neck US should be performed at least 6 months after initial surgery and then at 6-12 month intervals for ATA Pediatric Intermediate- and High-Risk patients and at annual intervals for ATA Pediatric Low-Risk patients. Follow-up beyond 5 years should be individualized based on recurrence risk.

Recommendation rating: A

[D4] HOW ARE DIAGNOSTIC RAI SCANS BEST USED IN THE FOLLOW UP OF PTC IN CHILDREN?

A DxWBS is usually performed as part of the postoperative staging following initial surgery in ATA Pediatric Intermediate- and High-Risk patients and can be
considered in ATA Pediatric Low-Risk patients who have evidence of residual disease after short-term follow up (see Table 6, Figures 2 and 3, and Sections C8/C12).

Routine surveillance for persistent or recurrent disease in children with DTC has historically relied on sequential DxWBS (11, 219, 288). However, serial neck US and measures of serum Tg appear to be sensitive indicators of disease status in the vast majority of pediatric patients. For that reason, there is no role for serial thyroid scintigraphy in a child who has not previously been treated with $^{131}$I, unless there is evidence for persistent or recurrent disease (see Figure 3).

For the child who has received therapeutic $^{131}$I, there may be a role for a follow up DxWBS, typically 1-2 years following the initial treatment with $^{131}$I (Table 6). Children with known iodine-avid metastases based upon a prior posttreatment scan are the most likely to benefit from subsequent staging with a DxWBS. Ideally, the DxWBS should be performed only after a significant period of time has elapsed to assess the response from the last dose of therapeutic $^{131}$I, recognizing that clinical response can continue for years (58) (see Figure 4 and Section D8). Finally, once a DxWBS is negative, there is no utility in repeating the procedure unless disease is clinically suspected.

**RECOMMENDATION 25(A)**

During the follow up of children with PTC who are suspected to have residual disease, a DxWBS can be used to inform the decision of whether or not to use $^{131}$I and the activity of $^{131}$I to be administered (Figure 3). A final DxWBS can be considered to confirm the absence of iodine-avid disease in children who were previously treated with $^{131}$I and who have no evidence of disease 1-2 years after initial therapy.

Recommendation rating: C

**RECOMMENDATION 25(B)**

A DxWBS should be performed in children with ATA Pediatric High-Risk disease who were previously treated with $^{131}$I or known to have iodine-avid metastatic disease based upon a previous posttreatment scan. The DxWBS should be obtained after at least 12 months of clinical follow-up, and deferred even longer in those children who continue to demonstrate a clinical response to previous treatment.

Recommendation rating: B

**RECOMMENDATION 25(C)**
Once a negative DxWBS is obtained, there is no benefit from serial DxWBS to survey for disease recurrence as long as the patient otherwise remains without clinical evidence of disease.

Recommendation rating: B

[D5] WHAT IMAGING STUDIES SHOULD BE CONSIDERED IN THE PEDIATRIC PTC PATIENT WHO IS TG POSITIVE BUT WHO HAS NO EVIDENCE OF DISEASE ON CERVICAL ULTRASOUND OR DxWBS?

The child previously treated with surgery and $^{131}\text{I}$ who has a serum Tg suggestive of residual/recurrent disease but no other evidence of disease presents a particularly challenging clinical situation. In this setting, one should first ensure that cervical US has been performed by an experienced radiologist and also confirm that iodinated contrast agents were not given to the patient within the previous 3 months of an RAI scan. Treatment algorithms have been proposed for adults who are "Tg-positive, scan-negative" and generally focus on anatomic imaging of the neck and chest, $^{[18]}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography ($^{18}$FDG-PET/CT), and additional therapeutic $^{131}$I with a posttreatment scan (1, 3, 320).

In children, the neck and chest are the most likely sites of persistent disease and contrast-enhanced imaging of these areas with CT or MRI is favored when US cannot identify disease. $^{18}$FDG-PET/CT has become a commonly used tool in the evaluation of adults with persistent non iodine-avid thyroid cancer (3, 321-326) and appears to offer prognostic information that might change clinical management (327-330). However, there are extremely limited data regarding the use of $^{18}$FDG-PET/CT in children except for a case report (331), isolated pediatric subjects embedded within adult studies, and unpublished data that suggest low sensitivity of $^{18}$FDG-PET/CT to identify residual disease in children that otherwise cannot be identified via cervical US and cross-sectional imaging of the neck and chest (Personal communication, SGW). Whether or not the use of $^{18}$F-FDG PET has similar prognostic value or will change disease management in children with thyroid cancer remains to be determined. Finally, empiric treatment with $^{131}$I does not appear to be effective in adults who have a negative DxWBS (332, 333). Although children are more likely to have RAI-responsive disease compared with adults, empiric treatment with high-activity $^{131}$I is not generally advocated to identify disease unless there is evidence for clinical progression (e.g. a rising Tg level) and a documented clinical response to previous $^{131}$I therapy (see Figure 3).

RECOMMENDATION 26(A)

For the child with a detectable TSH-suppressed Tg but a negative cervical US and DxWBS, contrast-enhanced cross-sectional imaging of the neck and chest should be considered once iodine excess has been eliminated as a cause of a false negative DxWBS.
Recommendation rating: B

RECOMMENDATION 26(B)

The utility of $^{18}$FDG-PET/CT is poorly studied in pediatric DTC and $^{18}$FDG-PET/CT cannot be routinely recommended in the care of children who have persistent evidence of DTC on follow-up.

Recommendation rating: D

RECOMMENDATION 26(C)

Empiric $^{131}$I therapy and a posttreatment scan are not recommended to localize disease in the child with DTC and a negative DxWBS unless there is evidence for clinical progression (e.g. a rising Tg level) and a documented clinical response to previous $^{131}$I therapy.

Recommendation rating: D

[D6] WHAT ARE THE GOALS AND POTENTIAL RISKS OF TSH SUPPRESSION THERAPY?

DTC in children are well-differentiated tumors that may respond to TSH stimulation with increased growth and Tg production. For that reason, TSH suppression has been an important cornerstone of treatment, especially for high-risk groups (217, 334-336). However, there are no data in children with which to compare the outcomes, risks and benefits of various TSH suppression strategies. Some experts recommend initial TSH suppression to < 0.1 mIU/L followed by relaxation to 0.5 mIU/L following remission of DTC (337). The ATA guidelines for adults stratify target TSH levels based on the risk of recurrence (1). Recognizing the paucity of data regarding TSH suppression in children with DTC, the panel has concluded that the initial TSH goal should be tied to ATA Pediatric Risk level and current disease status (Table 6). In children without evidence of disease, the TSH can be normalized to the low-normal range after an appropriate period of surveillance.

The actual risks of TSH suppression in children with DTC have been poorly studied. Extrapolating from patients with Graves' disease, the potential risks of TSH suppression include growth acceleration, advanced bone age, early onset puberty, reduced bone mineral content, poor academic performance, tachyarrhythmia, and others (338, 339). It should be emphasized, however, that patients with Graves' disease generally have much greater elevations in thyroxine levels than do patients on TSH suppressive therapy for DTC. Thus, the applicability of these data to long term DTC management is currently unknown.

RECOMMENDATION 27
TSH suppression in children with DTC should be determined by ATA Pediatric Risk level and current disease status (Table 6).

In children with known or suspected persistent disease, TSH suppression should be maintained.

In children with no evidence of disease, the TSH can be normalized to the low-normal range after an appropriate period of surveillance.

Recommendation rating: B

[D7] WHAT IS THE OPTIMAL APPROACH TO THE PATIENT WITH PERSISTENT / RECURRENT CERVICAL DISEASE?

The majority of residual/recurrent PTC in children will be identified in cervical lymph nodes (5, 9, 11, 14, 163, 217, 319), and the optimal management depends on several factors, including the location and size of disease, the previous surgical and $^{131}$I treatment history, the presence of distant metastases, and whether or not the disease is iodine-avid (see Figure 3). Patients with cervical RAI uptake due to disease that is small (i.e. <1 cm) or that cannot be visualized via cross-sectional imaging can be considered for treatment with therapeutic $^{131}$I, which may reduce future recurrence risk but is unlikely to improve mortality (9, 14, 169). Although repeat surgery may also be an option, finding a small recurrence in the neck intraoperatively may be difficult. In most cases, children with small volume residual disease <1 cm can be safely observed while continuing TSH suppression. Given the overall excellent prognosis, and the low risk for clinically significant progression, the risk to benefit ratio for the treatment of small-volume disease in a child who has already undergone surgery and $^{131}$I is unfavorable.

On the other hand, in patients with structural disease greater than 1 cm in size that is visualized by US and/or anatomic imaging (CT or MRI) and confirmed via FNA, surgical resection is preferable to $^{131}$I and can result in safe and effective long-term control of disease, especially when surgery is performed by a high volume surgeon (340, 341).

RECOMMENDATION 28(A)

The decision to treat or to observe structurally identifiable cervical disease should be individualized and include considerations of age, initial ATA Pediatric Risk classification, the presence of distant metastases, and prior treatment history (including complications from previous therapy), in addition to the size, extent, anatomic location and iodine avidity of the disease (see Figure 3).

Recommendation rating: C
RECOMMENDATION 28(B)

Children with macroscopic cervical disease (>1 cm in size) should be assessed by a high-volume thyroid surgeon to determine the feasibility of additional surgery.

Recommendation rating: B

RECOMMENDATION 28(C)

Iodine-avid cervical disease (visualized with DxWBS) could be treated with surgery or 131I depending on individual patient risks and the presence or absence of distant metastases. Surgery would be favored for disease localized to the neck, especially if located in a lymph node compartment not previously operated upon.

Recommendation rating: B

RECOMMENDATION 28(D)

If repeat surgery is performed, postoperative re-staging can be utilized to determine whether additional 131I treatment is warranted, especially in the patient who has not received previous therapeutic 131I.

Recommendation rating: C

[D8] HOW SHOULD CHILDREN WITH PULMONARY METASTASES BE MANAGED?

The majority of children with pulmonary metastases have micronodular disease that typically demonstrates excellent RAI uptake. Because of this, distant metastases in children are more amenable and responsive to 131I therapy compared with adults. Serial 131I treatments can result in remission in many, but not all, children with pulmonary metastases from PTC, the vast majority of whom will demonstrate stable metastatic disease and low disease-specific mortality (10, 57, 58, 162, 176, 179, 227, 289, 319, 342-344). The optimal frequency of 131I treatment has not been determined. The maximal clinical and biochemical response from an administered activity of 131I may not be reached for up to 15-18 months (302), and recent studies have also demonstrated a continuous improvement in serum Tg levels for years following discontinuation of 131I therapy in children with pulmonary metastases (58), all of which suggests that the effects of therapy can be seen well beyond the first years after treatment. Because children with pulmonary metastases have historically been treated aggressively with repeated activities of 131I, it remains unknown how these pediatric patients would respond to the less-aggressive use of 131I. Given the fact that a majority of children with pulmonary metastases will not have a complete response to therapy and because it may take years to see the full response of 131I, an undetectable Tg level should no longer be the sole goal of treatment of children with pulmonary metastases.
Furthermore, longer intervals between $^{131}$I therapy would seem prudent in the child who does not demonstrate progressive disease.

For patients with persistent pulmonary metastases who have already received treatment with high-activity $^{131}$I, the decision to re-treat should be individualized (see Figure 4). Because of our improved understanding regarding prognosis and duration of response in children with pulmonary metastases, and to minimize the long-term risks associated with high cumulative activities of $^{131}$I (see Section C16), it is logical to monitor the TSH-suppressed Tg and imaging studies in these children, deferring repeat evaluation and treatment with RAI until the full response to previous $^{131}$I is demonstrated. In the rare event of disease progression, further evaluation and treatment would be warranted, as long as it has been >12 months from the previous activity of $^{131}$I (see Figure 4). For serologic progression, waiting at least 12 months would better establish a trend to ensure that the rise in the Tg or TgAb levels is not spurious or due to previous $^{131}$I-induced tumor destruction. Furthermore, a longer interval between treatments may minimize the risk of late effects of $^{131}$I (see Section C16). In all cases, therapeutic $^{131}$I should be considered only if the patient is known or presumed to have RAI-responsive disease and has not already received high cumulative activities of $^{131}$I. If the child did not have previous RAI uptake on a posttreatment scan or if their disease continued to progress despite high-activity $^{131}$I, further $^{131}$I therapy is unlikely to be helpful and should not be given. In these cases, continued observation and TSH suppression are indicated, with alternative therapies considered if progression of iodine-refractory disease becomes clinically significant (Section D10).

As children with pulmonary metastases may have diffuse RAI-uptake in the lungs, there is a real concern about treatment-induced pulmonary fibrosis (57, 258, 345-348). In these cases, administering lower $^{131}$I activities and employing dosimetry should be considered to limit radiation exposure to the non-target normal lung parenchyma (244-246, 347, 349) (Section C14). The utility and optimal intervals at which to perform pulmonary function testing (PFT) in children with lung metastases have not been studied, but many experts recommend that PFT be obtained intermittently in children with pulmonary metastases, especially if multiple $^{131}$I treatments are planned.

**RECOMMENDATION 29(A)**

Children with RAI-avid pulmonary metastases visualized with a DxWBS are good candidates for $^{131}$I therapy.

Recommendation rating: A

**RECOMMENDATION 29(B)**
After a therapeutic activity of $^{131}$I, the TSH-suppressed Tg level and imaging studies should be monitored until the full clinical and biochemical (Tg) response is reached.

Recommendation rating: B

**RECOMMENDATION 29(C)**

If the full clinical and biochemical (Tg) response suggests persistent disease or if there is documented disease progression >12 months after $^{131}$I therapy, further evaluation with a DxWBS and a TSH-stimulated Tg is indicated.

Recommendation rating: B

**RECOMMENDATION 29(D)**

Re-treatment of RAI-avid pulmonary metastases should be considered in children who have demonstrated progression of disease and a previous response to $^{131}$I, with each treatment carefully individualized based on the child’s unique clinical course, side-effect profile, risk tolerance, and cumulative administered $^{131}$I activity. Treatment with $^{131}$I should be performed by experts with experience in managing children with pulmonary metastases.

Recommendation rating: B

**RECOMMENDATION 29(E)**

Re-treatment of pulmonary metastases with $^{131}$I is not recommended in children who do not have uptake on a DxWBS and who have not demonstrated a previous response to $^{131}$I.

Recommendation rating: E

**RECOMMENDATION 29(F)**

Pulmonary function testing should be considered in all children with diffuse pulmonary metastases, especially if multiple $^{131}$I treatments are planned.

Recommendation rating: C

[D9] HOW DOES ONE APPROACH THE CHILD WITH AN INCIDENTAL PTC IDENTIFIED AFTER SURGERY FOR ANOTHER THYROID CONDITION?
Small foci of PTC may be incidentally discovered on histological examination of thyroid tissue resected for other benign diseases such as Graves’ disease, autonomous nodule(s), or multinodular goiter. There is no consensus regarding the benefit of completion thyroidectomy (assuming lobectomy was initially performed) or $^{131}$I therapy for children with incidental PTC. However, these children should undergo neck US, if not already performed, and be managed similar to other children with ATA Pediatric Low-Risk PTC (Table 6).

**RECOMMENDATION 30**

Children with incidental PTC should be managed similarly to other children with ATA Pediatric Low-Risk disease. Neck US is recommended to detect contralateral disease or disease in the regional lymph nodes. Completion thyroidectomy is not required in those children who had less than a TT unless there is US evidence and cytologic confirmation of contralateral thyroid disease or malignant lymphadenopathy.

Recommendation rating: B

**[D10] WHAT ARE THE OPTIMAL APPROACHES TO THE PEDIATRIC PATIENT WHO DEVELOPS PROGRESSIVE THYROID CANCER THAT NO LONGER CONCENTRATES OR RESPONDS TO $^{131}$I?**

Very rarely, children with thyroid cancer may develop progressive symptomatic and/or life-threatening disease that is not amenable to further surgery or $^{131}$I. In such cases, systemic therapy should be considered. Clinical trials would be preferred, but there has not yet been a clinical trial developed for children with $^{131}$I-refractory DTC. Some drugs with potential efficacy may be available through phase 1 pediatric studies. Doxorubicin remains the only United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved cytotoxic chemotherapy for this indication and has been used either as a single agent or in combination with cisplatin or interferon-α (39, 350, 351), but it is generally ineffective in treating advanced DTC.

Molecularly targeted therapies using oral small molecule kinase inhibitors have brought newer options to the management of $^{131}$I-refractory thyroid cancer in adults (39, 352). The pediatric experience has been limited to published case reports and anecdotal clinical experience, primarily with sorafenib (353, 354), which is FDA-approved for the treatment of advanced iodine-refractory DTC in adults based upon the results of a phase III trial (355). (Subsequent to the completion of these guidelines, lenvatinib was also approved by the FDA based upon a pivotal phase III clinical trial in adults (356)) Although more study is required regarding the use of these agents in children, particularly with respect to dosing and toxicity, the use of molecularly targeted therapies may be contemplated in the rare situation where a child warrants systemic treatment. However, it is difficult to define iodine-refractory disease in pediatric DTC and iodine-refractory DTC can remain stable over years of follow up. For that reason, all children being considered for anti-neoplastic therapy should be referred to centers
familiar with the use of these novel therapeutic agents in thyroid cancer. In all cases, a systematic approach to care and toxicity evaluation should be undertaken (357).

RECOMMENDATION 31

Most children with asymptomatic and non-progressive $^{131}$I-refractory disease can be safely monitored while continuing TSH suppression. Systemic treatment for advanced thyroid cancer in children remains unstudied and at this time should be considered the purview of specialized centers for the treatment of children with thyroid cancer. Consultation with experts in this area should be invited prior to initiation of treatment. In exceptional cases where systemic treatment is contemplated, clinical trials are preferred. If unavailable, the use of oral kinase inhibitors may be considered.

Recommendation rating: C

[E1] FOLLICULAR THYROID CANCER

Pediatric FTC is a rare and poorly-studied malignancy with an age-adjusted annual incidence of 0.5 cases per million population (8). FTC currently represents 10% or less of thyroid cancer cases diagnosed in children or young adults (8, 50, 358-360) and the prevalence of true FTC appears to be decreasing over time (361). FTC is most commonly diagnosed in adolescents and there is less of a female to male preponderance when compared with PTC (9, 11, 50, 51, 226). Iodine deficiency is the one clear risk factor for the development of FTC, and iodine-deficient countries have a higher prevalence of FTC compared with PTC (226, 358, 362, 363). Unlike for PTC, the role of ionizing radiation in the pathogenesis of FTC is much less clear (20, 364).

The major histopathologic variants of FTC are the oncocytic (Hürthle cell) and clear cell variants. Poorly differentiated thyroid carcinomas (e.g. insular carcinomas) can arise from a pre-existing FTC and are defined by the WHO as follicular cell malignancies with limited evidence of follicular cell differentiation (25). Such tumors are exceedingly rare in the pediatric population (365, 366).

Although mutations in RAS and the $PAX8/PPAR\gamma$ rearrangement have been implicated in adult FTC (130, 367), the somatic genetic events that contribute to the pathogenesis of pediatric FTC remain largely unstudied. FTC can be a component of the $PTEN$ hamartoma tumor syndrome (including Cowden syndrome) that results from germline mutations in $PTEN$ (see Table 4) (91, 92, 197, 368-370). Therefore, there should be a high index of suspicion for an underlying $PTEN$ mutation in children with FTC, particularly in those with macrocephaly, penile freckling, or a suggestive family history (92, 324, 369, 371). FTC may also develop as part of other genetic syndromes (see Section B3 and Table 4).

FTC is typically an encapsulated lesion and the diagnosis is based on the pathologic identification of capsular and/or vascular invasion in the resected tumor (358,
The diagnosis can only be secured after surgical resection and a thorough examination of the tumor capsule as it interfaces with the thyroid. FNA is not capable of making the diagnosis of FTC, which usually has an indeterminate result, such as “atypia of undetermined significance”, “follicular lesion of undetermined significance”, “follicular neoplasm”, or “suspicious for follicular neoplasm” (see Section B4) (121, 374, 375).

The clinical behavior of FTC in children is distinct from PTC. Pediatric FTC may be less aggressive than PTC and is generally associated with less advanced disease, fewer distant metastases, and a lower rate of recurrence (9, 11, 50, 167, 376). Except for the aggressive variants, FTC is typically a unifocal tumor that rarely spreads to regional lymph nodes (11, 25, 50, 51, 358, 372, 376-379) and may have autonomous function (380-382). However, unlike PTC, FTC is prone to early hematogenous metastases, which occurs even in the absence of cervical node involvement (383, 384). Despite that, conventional FTC has an excellent prognosis when diagnosed during childhood, and long-term survival is the norm (8, 385, 386).

Depending on the extent of invasion, FTC is currently subdivided into two major groups: minimally invasive and widely invasive FTC (367, 373). Tumors with microscopic capsular invasion alone and/or very limited vascular invasion are typically classified as minimally invasive carcinomas whereas grossly invasive neoplasms that show widespread infiltration into blood vessels and/or adjacent thyroid tissue and often lack complete tumor encapsulation are deemed widely invasive FTC.

Minimally invasive FTC has the lowest risk for recurrence and/or metastases whereas widely invasive FTC is associated with significant morbidity and mortality in adults (358, 367, 372, 373, 378, 383, 387-392). Vascular invasion appears to be the most important clinical prognostic indicator, and any degree of vascular invasion, especially if > 3 blood vessels are involved, may portend more advanced disease and a worse prognosis (372, 373, 387-390, 393). However, not all studies support the negative impact of vascular invasion (383, 394). Furthermore, size of the primary tumor appears to be an important factor, with metastases less likely to occur in smaller cancers (377, 383, 384, 391, 393).

In clinical practice, the initial evaluation and treatment of FTC in children is generally the same as for PTC (200, 395). Surgery by a high-volume thyroid surgeon is the definitive therapy and, at a minimum, the child with an FNA that demonstrates an indeterminate lesion should undergo an ipsilateral thyroid lobectomy and isthmusectomy (see Section B4 and Figure 1). Intraoperative frozen section can be considered, primarily to assess for PTC, but frozen section cannot reliably distinguish FTC from benign disease and is not routinely recommended (396). With a minimally invasive FTC, lobectomy alone may be sufficient treatment (378, 392). However, if >3 vascular invasions are identified or if the tumor is > 4 cm, completion thyroidectomy is recommended because of the higher risk of distant metastasis (373). In children who have had a total thyroidectomy, postoperative staging (Figure 2) can help to identify those children with FTC who may benefit from $^{131}$I treatment (200). Further studies are
required to understand the benefit of routine $^{131}$I treatment in children with no evidence for iodine-avid metastases and a stimulated Tg ≤10 ng/ml.

The follow up of children with FTC is similar to PTC and will include serial monitoring of serum Tg levels and TSH suppression (see Table 6). One notable difference is that routine neck US is typically of lesser importance for the pediatric patient with conventional FTC who has had a total thyroidectomy, especially when there is no evidence of disease based upon the Tg data. However, intermittent ultrasonography in children who have had only lobectomy may be valuable.

Pediatric FTC is a rare malignancy. Because of the paucity of data regarding FTC in children, strong recommendations regarding therapy cannot be made and further studies are required to better understand the long-term outcomes and to risk-stratify children who would benefit from more extensive thyroid surgery and $^{131}$I therapy.

**RECOMMENDATION 32(A)**

Patients with clear evidence of vascular invasion (> 3 involved blood vessels), known distant metastasis, and/or tumor size > 4 cm should be treated with total thyroidectomy and staged postoperatively with RAI.

Recommendation rating: C

**RECOMMENDATION 32(B)**

Minimally-invasive FTC < 4 cm in size and with no or minimal vascular invasion (≤ 3 involved blood vessels) should be treated on a case-by-case basis but lobectomy alone rather than total thyroidectomy with $^{131}$I therapy may be sufficient.

Recommendation rating: C

**RECOMMENDATION 32(C)**

In all children diagnosed with FTC, consideration should be given to genetic counseling and genetic testing for germline PTEN mutations particularly in the child with macrocephaly or with a family history suggestive of the PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome.

Recommendation rating: C

[F1] WHAT ARE THE UNIQUE ISSUES THAT MAY AFFECT CHILDREN DIAGNOSED WITH DTC?
Long-term psychosocial issues have been reported in survivors of other childhood cancers and appear to be more pronounced in the unemployed, the poorly educated, and those with poor financial resources (397). Parents perceive children with cancer to be more vulnerable than their peers and this may lead to overprotection and a reduction in the child’s quality of life (398), a measure that may not be directly related to the severity of the cancer prognosis (399). Furthermore, this perception of vulnerability has been shown to persist for at least 5-10 years after completion of therapy (400). One small study in children and adolescents treated for thyroid cancer demonstrated no difference in quality of life or anxiety levels compared to children treated for hypothyroidism or normative controls (401); however, this was a cross-sectional study and 11 of 16 patients were in remission at the time of completing the survey. Further exploration of the psychosocial impact of thyroid cancer on the patient, his/her parents and siblings is required (402). Based on the data from other forms of childhood cancer, similar parental perceptions of vulnerability and other psychosocial issues may occur in children with DTC as they age. Providers should be aware of this possibility and remain alert to signs of psychosocial distress.

As with any chronic illness, adherence to life-long LT4 therapy is an issue, and it is not uncommon for children to have elevated levels of TSH when not required for evaluation or treatment with RAI (403). Therefore, medication adherence can be a challenge and frequent assessments of thyroid hormone levels along with education about the benefit of TSH suppression in the long-term management of DTC are important. Motivational interviewing may be a non-judgmental means by which to improve compliance (404, 405). For those patients who received $^{131}$I therapy, health care providers should also continue to be aware of the potential for second malignancies and chronic adverse effects from $^{131}$I treatment (see Section C16).

**RECOMMENDATION 33**

Children with DTC may experience adverse psychosocial effects and be non-adherent with LT4 therapy. Attention to these possibilities and supportive counseling as required are important adjuncts in the long-term follow up of children with DTC. Future studies on the impact of a DTC diagnosis and treatment on quality of life in children are required.

Recommendation rating: C

**[G1] HOW LONG SHOULD A CHILD WITH PTC BE MONITORED?**

Overall recurrence rates for children with PTC approximate 30%. In some studies, more than half of recurrences were seen in the first 7 years after treatment (11), while others found equal recurrence rates in the first and second decades after surgery (169). Delayed recurrence as long as 20-40 years after diagnosis has also been reported (5, 217). From these data, it would appear that children with PTC should be followed for several decades to detect all late recurrences. However, Tg levels were not reported for patients in these earlier studies and it remains unclear if these recurrence
data apply to children with an undetectable stimulated Tg. Until additional long-term data are available, we suggest that all children with PTC should be followed prospectively but with reduced intensity over time, especially for those with undetectable TSH-stimulated Tg (see Table 6).

**RECOMMENDATION 34**

Recurrence of DTC in children has been reported as long as 40 years after initial therapy. For that reason, children with DTC should be followed for life, albeit with decreasing intensity for those with no evidence for disease.

Recommendations rating: B

**[G2] WHAT ARE THE AREAS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH?**

The treatment of children with DTC is evolving. We have moved from an era of intensive therapy in which all children received total thyroidectomy and $^{131}$I to an era of personalized therapy in which treatment is individualized based on pre- and postoperative staging and continuous risk stratification. Although the current recommendations have been made based on the best available data and clinical experience, such evolution in care generates uncertainty in providers, parents and patients. The greatest uncertainty surrounds the proper use of $^{131}$I, the interpretation of Tg and TgAb levels, the role of prospective US monitoring in pre-symptomatic children at risk for thyroid neoplasia, the use of novel targeted therapies for advanced disease that is unresponsive to $^{131}$I, and the long-term psychosocial impacts of this disease on children and their families. These areas require well-designed long-term, multicenter studies that will be difficult to perform because of the rarity of pediatric DTC and the prolonged follow-up required to reach meaningful end-points. Further research should be facilitated by ensuring that children with DTC are treated when possible at centers with multidisciplinary interest and expertise in this disease.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Strongly recommends</td>
<td>The recommendation is based on good evidence that the service or intervention can improve important health outcomes. Evidence includes consistent results from well-designed, well-conducted studies in representative populations that directly assess effects on health outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Recommends</td>
<td>The recommendation is based on fair evidence that the service or intervention can improve important health outcomes. The evidence is sufficient to determine effects on health outcomes, but the strength of the evidence is limited by the number, quality, or consistency of the individual studies; generalizability to routine practice; or indirect nature of the evidence on health outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Recommends</td>
<td>The recommendation is based on expert opinion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Recommends against</td>
<td>The recommendation is based on expert opinion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Recommends against</td>
<td>The recommendation is based on fair evidence that the service or intervention does not improve important health outcomes or that harms outweigh benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F Strongly recommends against</td>
<td>The recommendation is based on good evidence that the service or intervention does not improve important health outcomes or that harms outweigh benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Recommends neither for nor against</td>
<td>The panel concludes that the evidence is insufficient to recommend for or against providing the service or intervention because evidence is lacking that the service or intervention improves important health outcomes, the evidence is of poor quality, or the evidence is conflicting. As a result, the balance of benefits and harms cannot be determined.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Organization of the Pediatric Thyroid Nodule and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer Guidelines and Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location key</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Recommendation #</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[A1]</td>
<td>Why do we need specific guidelines for children with thyroid nodules and thyroid cancer?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[A2]</td>
<td>To what age group should these guidelines apply?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 2</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[A3]</td>
<td>Should treatment of children with DTC be stratified into more than one age group?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B1]</td>
<td>Thyroid Nodule Guidelines</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 4(B)</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B2]</td>
<td>How common are thyroid nodules in children and what is the risk for malignancy?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 4(C)</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B3]</td>
<td>Are there high-risk groups who might benefit from prospective screening for thyroid nodules and thyroid cancer?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 4(D)</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B4]</td>
<td>What is the optimal evaluation of children with thyroid nodules?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 5</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B5]</td>
<td>Are there molecular signatures that complement FNA and improve the diagnostic utility of FNA in children?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 6</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B6]</td>
<td>How should thyroid nodules be treated in children?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 7</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B7]</td>
<td>What is the recommended approach for children with benign thyroid cytopathology?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 8</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B8]</td>
<td>Is there a role for levothyroxine suppression therapy?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 9</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B9]</td>
<td>Is there a role for surgery in children with benign nodules?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 10</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B10]</td>
<td>What is the optimal management of the child with an autonomous thyroid nodule?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 11</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C1]</td>
<td>Papillary Thyroid Cancer-Initial Management Guidelines</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 12(A)</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C2]</td>
<td>What is the optimal pre-operative evaluation for the child with newly diagnosed PTC?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 12(B)</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C3]</td>
<td>What is the recommended surgical approach for the patient with a diagnosis of PTC?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 12(C)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C4]</td>
<td>Should central neck dissection be performed?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 12(D)</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C5]</td>
<td>What are the indications for lateral neck dissection?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 13</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C6]</td>
<td>What are the possible complications of surgery and what should be done to minimize the risks of surgery?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 14(A)</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C7]</td>
<td>What tumor classification systems can be used for pediatric PTC?</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATION 14(B)</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What postoperative staging is recommended? RECOMMENDATION 16 B

What are the goals of $^{131}$I treatment? RECOMMENDATION 17 B

What is the impact of $^{131}$I therapy on recurrence and survival for children with PTC? RECOMMENDATION 18 A

Which children might benefit from therapeutic $^{131}$I? RECOMMENDATION 19(A) C

How should a child be prepared for $^{131}$I? RECOMMENDATION 19(B) F

What should be considered for administration of $^{131}$I? RECOMMENDATION 20 I

How is the activity of therapeutic $^{131}$I determined? RECOMMENDATION 21 A

Should a posttreatment whole body scan be obtained? RECOMMENDATION 22 C

What are the acute and long-term risks of $^{131}$I therapy in children? RECOMMENDATION 23(A) A

What is the role of thyroglobulin testing in the follow up of PTC in children? RECOMMENDATION 23(B) A

RECOMMENDATION 23(C) B

RECOMMENDATION 23(D) A

RECOMMENDATION 23(E) A

What is the role of ultrasound in the follow up of PTC in children? RECOMMENDATION 24 A

How are diagnostic RAI scans best used in the follow up of PTC in children? RECOMMENDATION 25(A) C

RECOMMENDATION 25(B) B

RECOMMENDATION 25(C) B

What imaging studies should be considered in the pediatric PTC patient who is Tg positive but who has no evidence of disease on cervical ultrasound or DxWBS? RECOMMENDATION 26(A) B

RECOMMENDATION 26(B) D

RECOMMENDATION 26(C) D

What are the goals and potential risks of TSH suppression therapy? RECOMMENDATION 27 B

What is the optimal approach to the patient with persistent / recurrent cervical disease? RECOMMENDATION 28(A) C

RECOMMENDATION 28(B) B

RECOMMENDATION 28(C) B

RECOMMENDATION 28(D) C

How should children with pulmonary metastases be managed? RECOMMENDATION 29(A) A

RECOMMENDATION 29(B) B

RECOMMENDATION 29(C) B

RECOMMENDATION 29(D) B
How does one approach the child with an incidental PTC identified after surgery for another thyroid condition? RECOMMENDATION 29(F) C

What are the optimal approaches to the pediatric patient who develops progressive thyroid cancer that no longer concentrates or respond to $^{131}$I? RECOMMENDATION 30 B

Follicular Thyroid Cancer

What are the unique issues that may affect children diagnosed with DTC? RECOMMENDATION 33 C

How long should a child with PTC be monitored? RECOMMENDATION 34 B

If viewing these guidelines on the Web, or in a File, copy the Location Key to the Find or Search Function to navigate rapidly to the desired section.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUS/FLUS</td>
<td>atypia or follicular lesion of undetermined significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CND</td>
<td>central neck dissection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFS</td>
<td>disease free survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTC</td>
<td>differentiated thyroid cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DxWBS</td>
<td>diagnostic whole body scan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>food and drug administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDG-PET/CT</td>
<td>fluoro-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNA</td>
<td>fine needle aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTC</td>
<td>follicular thyroid cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gy</td>
<td>gray unit of measurement: absorbed dose (of ionizing radiation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTC</td>
<td>medullary thyroid cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMTC</td>
<td>non-medullary thyroid cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC</td>
<td>papillary thyroid cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>gene: phosphatase and tensin homolog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTMC</td>
<td>papillary thyroid microcarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAI</td>
<td>radioactive iodine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhTSH</td>
<td>recombinant human TSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLN</td>
<td>recurrent laryngeal nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRA</td>
<td>radioactive iodine thyroid remnant ablation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RxWBS</td>
<td>post-treatment whole body scan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg</td>
<td>thyroglobulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TgAb</td>
<td>thyroglobulin antibody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THW</td>
<td>thyroid hormone withdrawal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKI</td>
<td>tyrosine kinase inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>thyroid stimulating hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>total or near-total thyroidectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBS</td>
<td>whole body scan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Hereditary Tumor Syndromes Associated with Thyroid Nodules/DTC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene (Chromosomal Location)</th>
<th>Type(s) of Thyroid Neoplasia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>APC-Associated Polyposis (familial adenomatous polyposis [FAP], attenuated FAP, Gardner syndrome, &amp; Turcot syndrome)</strong></td>
<td>• APC (5q21-q22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carney Complex</strong></td>
<td>• PRKAR1A (17q24.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• “CNC2” (2p16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DICER1 Syndrome</strong></td>
<td>• DICER1 (14q32.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PTEN Hamartoma Tumor Syndrome (Cowden syndrome, Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome, PTEN-related Proteus syndrome, &amp; Proteus-like syndrome)</strong></td>
<td>• PTEN (10q23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although DTC has also been reported to occur in patients with Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome, the familial paraganglioma syndromes, Li-Fraumeni Syndrome, McCune-Albright syndrome, and Peutz–Jeghers syndrome, it remains unclear if these tumors are a direct result of the underlying genetic defect.

**DTC** = Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma; **FTC** = Follicular thyroid carcinoma; **PTC** = Papillary thyroid carcinoma

| Werner syndrome | • WRN (8p12) | • DTC (PTC and FTC) |
### Table 5: AJCC TNM Classification System for Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma

#### Primary Tumor (T)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>Size not assessed, limited to the thyroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>≤ 1 cm, limited to the thyroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>&gt; 1 cm but ≤ 2 cm, limited to the thyroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>&gt; 2 cm but ≤ 4 cm, limited to the thyroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>&gt;4 cm, limited to the thyroid, or any tumor with minimal extrathyroid extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Tumor extends beyond the thyroid capsule to invade subcutaneous soft tissues, larynx, trachea, esophagus, or recurrent laryngeal nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>Tumor invades prevertebral fascia or encases carotid artery or mediastinal vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4a</td>
<td>Metastasis to Level VI (pretracheal, paratracheal, and prelaryngeal/Delphian lymph nodes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4b</td>
<td>Metastasis to unilateral, bilateral, or contralateral cervical Levels I, II, III, IV, or V or retropharyngeal or superior mediastinal lymph nodes (Level VII)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Lymph Nodes (N)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NX</td>
<td>Regional lymph nodes not assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>No regional lymph node metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Metastasis to Level VI (pretracheal, paratracheal, and prelaryngeal/ Delphian lymph nodes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1a</td>
<td>Metastasis to unilateral, bilateral, or contralateral cervical Levels I, II, III, IV, or V or retropharyngeal or superior mediastinal lymph nodes (Level VII)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Distant Metastasis (M)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MX</td>
<td>Distant metastasis not assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>No distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pediatric patients are considered to have Stage II disease if distant metastases are identified (M1); otherwise, all pediatric patients are considered to have Stage I disease.

### TABLE 6 ATA Pediatric Thyroid Cancer Risk Levels and Postoperative Management in Children with Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATA Risk Level¹</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Initial Postoperative Staging²</th>
<th>TSH Goal³</th>
<th>Surveillance of Patients With No Evidence of Disease⁴</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ATA Pediatric Low-Risk</strong></td>
<td>Disease grossly confined to the thyroid with N0/Nx disease or patients with incidental N1a disease (microscopic metastasis to a small number of central neck lymph nodes)</td>
<td>Tg⁵</td>
<td>0.5 – 1.0 mIU/L</td>
<td>US at 6 months postoperatively and then annually x 5 years Tg⁵ on LT4 every 3-6 months for two years and then annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ATA Pediatric Intermediate-Risk</strong></td>
<td>Extensive N1a or minimal N1b disease</td>
<td>TSH-Stimulated Tg⁵ and diagnostic ¹²³I scan in most patients (See Figure 2)</td>
<td>0.1 – 0.5 mIU/L</td>
<td>US at 6 months postoperatively, every 6-12 months for 5 years, and then less frequently Tg⁵ on LT4 every 3-6 months for 3 years and then annually Consider TSH-stimulated Tg⁵ ± diagnostic ¹²³I scan in 1-2 years in patients treated with ¹³¹I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ATA Pediatric High-Risk</strong></td>
<td>Regionally extensive disease (extensive N1b) or locally invasive disease (T4 tumors), with or without distant metastasis</td>
<td>TSH-Stimulated Tg⁵ and diagnostic ¹²³I scan in all patients (See Figure 2)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 mIU/L</td>
<td>US at 6 months postoperatively, every 6-12 months for 5 years, and then less frequently Tg⁵ on LT4 every 3-6 months for 3 years and then annually TSH-stimulated Tg⁵ ± diagnostic ¹²³I scan in 1-2 years in patients treated with ¹³¹I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please refer to Table 5 for AJCC TNM classification system.

FTC=follicular thyroid carcinoma; Tg=thyroglobulin; TSH=thyroid stimulating hormone; US=ultrasound
"Risk" is defined as the likelihood of having persistent cervical disease and/or distant metastases after initial total thyroidectomy ± lymph node dissection by a high volume thyroid surgeon and is not the risk for mortality, which is extremely low in the pediatric population. See Section C7 for further discussion.

Initial postoperative staging that is done within 12 weeks after surgery

These are initial targets for TSH suppression and should be adapted to the patient’s known or suspected disease status; in ATA Pediatric Intermediate- and High-risk patients who have no evidence of disease after 3-5 years of follow-up, the TSH can be allowed to rise to the low normal range.

Postoperative surveillance implies studies done at 6 months after the initial surgery and beyond in patients who are believed to be disease free; the intensity of follow up and extent of diagnostic studies are determined by initial postoperative staging, current disease status, and whether or not $^{131}$I was given; may not necessarily apply to patients with known or suspected residual disease (See Figure 3) or FTC.

Assumes a negative TgAb (see Section D2); in TgAb-positive patients, consideration can be given (except in patients with T4 or M1 disease) to deferred postoperative staging to allow time for TgAb clearance.
FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1
Initial Evaluation, Treatment and Follow Up of the Pediatric Thyroid Nodule

FIGURE 2
Initial Postoperative Staging for ATA Pediatric Intermediate- and High-Risk Pediatric Thyroid Carcinoma

FIGURE 3
Management of the Pediatric Patient with Known or Suspected Residual/Recurrent Disease (No Known Distant Metastases)

This algorithm is intended to be used in children who are known or suspected to have residual or recurrent disease based upon the suppressed Tg level and knowledge of previous disease extent 6-12 months after all primary therapies have been completed.

FIGURE 4
Management of the Pediatric Patient with Known Distant Metastases
Solitary or Suspicious Thyroid Nodule Detected By Imaging or Physical Examination

- Assumes a solid or partially cystic nodule $\geq 1\text{cm}$ or a nodule with concerning ultrasonographic features in a patient without personal risk factors for thyroid malignancy (see Sections B3 and B4)

FNA under US guidance

- TSH not suppressed
- TSH suppressed

Nuclear thyroid scintigraphy

Hyperfunctioning

Benign

- Repeat US in 6-12 mo.
- Repeat US & FNA in 3-6 mo.

Indeterminate or Suspicious

Surgery

- Nodule growing &/or abnormal FNA

Malignant

Inadequate or Nondiagnostic

- Nodule stable
- Nodule growing or suspicious findings

Benign: Check adequacy of thyroid hormone levels in 4 wks & follow clinically

Malignant: PTC/MTC

FTC

Repeat US in 6-12 mo.

Benign: Check adequacy of thyroid hormone levels in 4 wks & follow clinically

Malignant: PTC/MTC

FTC

Hyperfunctioning

TSH not suppressed

Repeat US & FNA in 3-6 mo.

Nodule growing &/or suspicious findings

Nodule stable &/or benign FNA

Repeat US every 1-2 yrs.

Repeat FNA &/or Surgery

Repeat US in 6-12 mo.

FIGURE 1

1 Assumes a solid or partially cystic nodule $\geq 1\text{cm}$ or a nodule with concerning ultrasonographic features in a patient without personal risk factors for thyroid malignancy (see Sections B3 and B4)

2 A suppressed TSH indicates a value below the lower limits of normal

3 Refer to PTC management guidelines (Section C1) or MTC management guidelines

4 Surgery can always be considered based upon suspicious ultrasound findings, concerning clinical presentation, nodule size $> 4\text{cm}$, compressive symptoms, &/or patient/family preference.

5 Surgery implies lobectomy + isthmusectomy in most cases. Surgery may be deferred in patients with an autonomous nodule and subclinical hyperthyroidism, but FNA should be considered if the nodule has features suspicious for PTC. (See Section B10) Consider intraoperative frozen section for indeterminate and suspicious lesions. Can consider total thyroidectomy for nodules suspicious for malignancy on FNA

6 Consider completion thyroidectomy $\pm$ RAI vs. observation $\pm$ TSH suppression based upon final pathology (see Section E1)
1 Assumes a negative TgAb (see Section D2) and a TSH >30 mIU/L; in TgAb-positive patients, consideration can be given (except in patients with T4 tumors or clinical M1 disease) to deferred evaluation to allow time for TgAb clearance (“delayed” staging)

2 Imaging includes neck ultrasonography ± SPECT/CT at the time of the diagnostic thyroid scan

3 Consider 131I in patients with thyroid bed uptake and T4 tumors or known residual microscopic cervical disease

4 While there are no prospective studies in patients ≤18 years of age, the use of 131I remnant ablation may not decrease the risk for persistent or recurrent disease. Consider surveillance rather than 131I with further therapy determined by surveillance data.

5 Repeat postoperative staging 3-6 months after surgery

6 See Table 6 and Figures 3 and 4
FIGURE 3

Tg\(^1\) on LT4 Detectable

123I diagnostic whole body scan & TSH-stimulated Tg\(^1\); CT chest without contrast

1. Assumes a negative TgAb (see Section D2); in TgAb-positive patients, the presence of TgAb alone cannot be interpreted as a sign of disease unless the titer is clearly rising.

2. Imaging includes SPECT/CT at the time of the diagnostic thyroid scan and/or contrast-enhanced CT/MRI neck.

3. Repeat 131I therapy in patients previously treated with high-dose 131I should generally be undertaken only if iodine-avid disease is suspected and a response to previous 131I therapy was observed (see sections D7 and D8).

---

Neck US

Positive

FNA if feasible & surgical consultation; consider CT/MRI neck

No

Negative

Previous therapeutic RAI

Not amenable to surgery

Amenable to surgery

Not amenable to surgery

Cervical uptake

Distant metastases

131I therapy\(^3\) & post-treatment scan (see Figure 4)

123I diagnostic whole body scan & TSH-stimulated Tg\(^1\) (see Figure 2)

YES

Imaging\(^2\) to identify resectable disease & surgical consult

Stimulated Tg\(^1\) 2-10 ng/ml

Stimulated Tg\(^1\) <2 ng/ml

Surgery

Observation on LT4 Suppression

YES

Stimulated Tg\(^1\) >10 ng/ml

Tg\(^1\) stable or declining

NO

Amenable to surgery

Surgery

---

123I diagnostic whole body scan & TSH-stimulated Tg\(^1\); CT chest without contrast

NO

Imaging\(^2\) to identify resectable disease & surgical consult

Stimulated Tg\(^1\) 2-10 ng/ml

Stimulated Tg\(^1\) <2 ng/ml

Surgery
FIGURE 4

Known distant metastases s/p therapeutic $^{131}I$

Baseline CT chest (if not already obtained) and/or other imaging as clinically indicated

$^{1}$ Tg on LT4 rising AND >12 months after initial therapy

$^{123}I$ diagnostic whole body scan & TSH-stimulated Tg

RAI uptake AND response to previous RAI

$^{131}I$ therapy & post-treatment scan

Consider $^{131}I$ therapy & post-treatment scan

$^{131}I$ not indicated

No RAI uptake BUT response to previous RAI

Observation on LT4 suppression; follow imaging

No RAI uptake AND no response to previous RAI

Observation on LT4 suppression; follow imaging

$^{131}I$ on LT4 rising or imaging documents progression AND >12 months after initial therapy

Tg on LT4 rising or imaging documents progression AND >12 months after initial therapy

$^{131}I$ on LT4 stable or declining

$^{131}I$ on LT4 stable or declining; disease stable or improved on imaging

$^{131}I$ on LT4 becomes undetectable

RAI uptake AND response to previous RAI

Observation on LT4 suppression; follow imaging

No RAI uptake BUT response to previous RAI

Observation on LT4 suppression; follow imaging

No RAI uptake AND no response to previous RAI

Observation on LT4 suppression; follow imaging

$^{1}$ Assumes a negative TgAb (see Section D2); in TgAb-positive patients, the presence of TgAb alone cannot be interpreted as a sign of disease unless the titer is clearly rising; a declining TgAb titer would suggest continued response to treatment

$^{2}$ Tg can transiently rise after $^{131}I$ therapy and should not be misinterpreted as evidence for progression

$^{3}$ Repeat $^{131}I$ therapy in patients previously treated with high-dose $^{131}I$ should be undertaken only if iodine-avid disease is suspected and if there was a previous response to therapy (see Section D7 and D8)